

A study to Assess Challenges Faced in Clinical Learning Environment by nursing students of the selected private nursing institutions of Himachal Pradesh

Kavita Verma*1, Ms. Dimple Chauhan2, Ms. Meena Negi3, Ms. Kusha4, Ms. Lovepreet5, Ms. Manisha6

*1 Assistant professor, Maharishi Markendeshwar College of Nursing, Solan, H.P.-173229

Email ID: kavita.sch.verma@gmail.com

²Lecturer, Maharishi Markendeshwar College of Nursing, Solan, H.P.-173229

Email ID: dimplechauhan918@gmail.com

³Assistant professor, Maharishi Markendeshwar College of Nursing, Solan, H.P.-173229

Email ID: meena1987negi@gmail.com

⁴B. Sc. Nursing 4th year

Email ID: kusha1702.k1@gmail.com

⁵Sc. Nursing 4th year

Email ID: Lovelyverma77777@gmail.com

⁶B. Sc. Nursing 4th year

Email ID: manishachaudhary892@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author:

Kavita Verma

Cite this paper as: Kavita Verma, Ms. Dimple Chauhan, Ms. Meena Negi, Ms. Kusha, Ms. Lovepreet, Ms. Manisha, (2025) A study to Assess Challenges Faced in Clinical Learning Environment by nursing students of the selected private nursing institutions of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (17s), 617-626.

ABSTRACT

Clinical learning is a key aspect of healthcare education, offering students hands-on experience in real-world settings. This study explored the challenges faced by nursing students within the Clinical Learning Environment (CLE) at selected private institutions in Himachal Pradesh. A descriptive research design was employed, with 347 nursing students selected through convenience sampling. Findings indicated several significant challenges. About 34.2% of students reported limited exposure to unusual clinical cases, while 22.2% noted a lack of opportunities to document in patient medical records. Additionally, 28.6% experienced difficulty in applying a patient-centered approach during clinical practice. Supervision-related concerns were also highlighted, with 18.7% of students reporting inadequate feedback and 19.6% expressing dissatisfaction with their interactions with supervisors. Furthermore, 20.8% felt unprepared for clinical encounters, reflecting a need for better orientation and support. The study revealed a strong positive correlation at the 0.01 level among all domains of the Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire (CLEQ), including Cases, Authenticity of clinical experience, Supervision, Organization of the nurse-patient encounter, and Motivation/learning needs. The maximum CLEQ score recorded was 200, with a mean score of 156.52 and a standard deviation of 14.80. Additionally, a statistically significant association was observed between the type of hospital posting and CLEQ scores (p < 0.01), suggesting institutional factors play a role in the student learning experience. However, no significant association was found between CLEQ scores and students' age or year of study. These findings underscore the need for improved supervision, better clinical exposure, and enhanced student support.

Keywords: Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire, Learning barriers, Nursing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nursing education plays a vital role in preparing students for modern healthcare, with hands-on training and evidence-based practice central to building clinical decision-making skills. (1,2) The Clinical Learning Environment (CLE) is essential in nursing, blending cognitive, psychomotor, and emotional skills to foster competence. (3,4) Applying theory to real-life scenarios, receiving mentor-ship, building interpersonal connections, and obtaining feedback significantly shape students' learning experiences. 5

Mastery of core clinical skills like the Glasgow Coma Scale, Apgar scoring, ventilator management, CPR, tracheostomy care, and surgical assistance is crucial for professional readiness⁶. Practical training sharpens critical thinking and problem-solving abilities.

A study in Malawi involving 126 participants used a mixed-methods design with the CLE inventory and focus groups. It found high overall satisfaction (M=26.93, SD=4.82) but low individualization (M=18.01, SD=3.50), revealing areas for growth. Regression analysis showed positive correlations between satisfaction and personalization (β = 0.50, p < 0.001) and task orientation (β = 0.16, p < 0.05). Key challenges included limited resources, poor supervision, and strained staff relationships.

Becoming a nurse demands rigorous academics and commitment, yet students face barriers like complex clinical rotations, financial burdens, mentorship gaps, and work-life imbalance⁸. Additional issues include inadequate communication with clinical staff, limited educator support, and substandard learning environments⁹. These factors impact student satisfaction and performance, emphasizing the need for educational reform to enhance clinical training and ensure effective transition into professional practice.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a **quantitative research approach** with a **descriptive design** to assess challenges in the Clinical Learning Environment (CLE) among nursing students from selected private institutions in Himachal Pradesh. The **research setting** included Akal, Shivalik, Mata Padmavati, and Shimla Nursing Colleges. The **target population** was B.Sc. Nursing students who met inclusion criteria, and a **sample size of 347** was selected using **convenience sampling**.

Inclusion criteria included students from all years willing to participate; exclusion criteria were absence during data collection and enrollment in other departments. Data was collected using a standardized tool—the Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire (CLEQ) developed by AlHaqwi (2014), comprising 40 items across five domains: clinical cases, authenticity, supervision, organization, and motivation. Items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale, and results were categorized as highly satisfied, satisfied, or unsatisfied. The tool consists of 2 sections i.e., Section A which include Sociodemographic variables such as age, year of course, type of hospital of posting and section B comprises of 5 domains of Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire (CLEQ). CLEQ (Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire) is a standardized questionnaire that was developed by AlHaqwi in 2014. 10 CLEQ composed of 40 items that investigate 5 main aspects that can affect clinical learning of students. These aspects are: provision of clinical cases (six items), authenticity of clinical experiences (nine items), supervision (seven items), organization of the doctor-patient encounters (eleven items), and motivation of students to learn (seven items). Responses of students to every item by rating it on a 5-point Likert Scale as (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) undecided, (4) agree and (5) strongly agree. The items of the CLEQ were phrased in a way that could reflect students' perception. The degree of student's agreement is indicated by the mean of responses to the statements of the CLEQ. Formal permission was obtained from the principal of private nursing institution (Akal College of Nursing, Shivalik College of Nursing, Mata Padmavati College of Nursing, Shimla Nursing College) to conduct the study. Written informed consent was taken from all participants. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured. As CLEQ is a validated tool, no pilot study was conducted as it was a standarized tool with reliability by Cronbach's alpha of 0.88.

Data collection involved Google Forms distributed online. The process included informed consent, baseline sociodemographic data collection, and administration of the CLEQ. Data analysis was performed using **descriptive and inferential statistics** to interpret findings related to student challenges in the CLE.

```
Cochran's Sample Size Formula is used
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} n &= Z2 \times p \times (1-p) \div E2 \\ n = 1.96 \times 1.96 \times 0.7 \times (1-0.7) \div (0.05)2 \\ n = 3.8416 \times 0.7 \times 0.3 \div 0.0025 \\ n = 0.807384 \div 0.0025 \\ n = 322.94 \\ n = 323 \\ Using dropout rate = 5\% \\ 323 + 16 = 339 \\ \textbf{Here:} \end{array}
```

Z=the Z-value, extracted from Z-table

p=the population size

e=the margin of error

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile and association between clinical learning domains with selected socio-demographic variables of nursing students:

N=347

Socio- demographic	Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire			f(%)	Chi- square	Df	p-value
variables	Highly Satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied				
Age							
18-19	103	26	01	130 (38)	4.227	6	0.646 ^{NS}
20-21	142	27	00	169 (49)			
22-23	36	04	00	40 (11)			
23-above	07	01	00	08 (22)			
Year of Course							
First Year	72	19	1	92 (26)	8.399	6	0.210 ^{NS}
Second Year	79	21	00	100 (29)			
Third Year	81	12	00	93 (27)			
Fourth year	56	06	00	62 (18)			
Type of hospitals	for posting	1	1				
Government hospitals	265	50	00	315 (91)	5.102	4	0.000**
Private hospitals	17	08	00	25 (7)	1		
Other centers (PHCs, CHCs)	06	00	01	7 (2)			
	demographic variables Age 18-19 20-21 22-23 23-above Year of Course First Year Second Year Third Year Fourth year Type of hospitals Government hospitals Private hospitals Other centers	demographic variables Questionnal Highly Satisfied Age 18-19 103 20-21 142 22-23 36 23-above 07 Year of Course First Year 72 Second Year 79 Third Year 81 Fourth year 56 Type of hospitals for posting Government hospitals 265 Private hospitals 17 Other centers 06	demographic variables Questionnaire Highly Satisfied Satisfied 18-19 103 26 20-21 142 27 22-23 36 04 23-above 07 01 Year of Course First Year 72 19 Second Year 79 21 Third Year 81 12 Fourth year 56 06 Type of hospitals for posting Government hospitals 265 50 Private hospitals 17 08 Other centers 06 00	Questionnaire Highly Satisfied Satisfied Unsatisfied Age 18-19 103 26 01 20-21 142 27 00 22-23 36 04 00 23-above 07 01 00 Year of Course First Year 72 19 1 Second Year 79 21 00 Third Year 81 12 00 Fourth year 56 06 00 Type of hospitals for posting Government hospitals 265 50 00 Other centers 06 00 01	demographic variables Questionnaire Highly Satisfied Satisfied Unsatisfied 18-19 103 26 01 130 (38) 20-21 142 27 00 169 (49) 22-23 36 04 00 40 (11) 23-above 07 01 00 08 (22) Year of Course First Year 72 19 1 92 (26) Second Year 79 21 00 100 (29) Third Year 81 12 00 93 (27) Fourth year 56 06 00 62 (18) Type of hospitals for posting Government hospitals 265 50 00 315 (91) Private hospitals 17 08 00 25 (7) Other centers 06 00 01 7 (2)	demographic variables Questionnaire Highly Satisfied Square Age 18-19 103 26 01 130 (38) 4.227 20-21 142 27 00 169 (49) 22-23 36 04 00 40 (11) 23-above 07 01 00 08 (22) Year of Course First Year 72 19 1 92 (26) 8.399 Second Year 79 21 00 100 (29) 100 (29) Third Year 81 12 00 93 (27) 93 (27) Fourth year 56 06 00 62 (18) 5.102 Type of hospitals for posting Government hospitals 265 50 00 315 (91) 5.102 Private hospitals 17 08 00 25 (7) 0ther 7 (2)	Name

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Table 4.2 Shows the overall level of satisfaction of students for CLEQ (Clinical Learning Evaluation \\ \textbf{Questionnaire):} & (N=347) \end{array}$

S.NO.	Level of Satisfaction	Score	f	%					
1.	Cases (Statements 1-6)								
	Highly Satisfied	22-30	269	77					
	Satisfied	14-21	75	22					
	Unsatisfied	6-13	3	1					
2.	Authenticity of Clinical Experience (Statements 7-15)								
	Highly Satisfied	33-45	273	79					
	Satisfied	21-32	74	21					
	Unsatisfied	9-20	00	00					
3.	Supervision (Statements 16-22))							

Journal of Neonatal Surgery | Year: 2025 | Volume: 14 | Issue: 17s

	Highly Satisfied	25-35	290	84					
	Satisfied	16-24	54	15					
	Unsatisfied	7-15	3	01					
4.	Organization of the nurse patient encounter (Statements 23-33)								
	Highly Satisfied	41-55	272	78					
	Satisfied	26-40	75	22					
	Unsatisfied	11-25	00	00					
5.	Motivation/learning skills (Statements 34-40)								
	Highly Satisfied	25-35	320	92					
	Satisfied	15-24	25	07					
	Unsatisfied	7-16	2	01					

Table 4.3 Shows the item analysis of challenges faced in the CLE (Clinical Learning Environment (N=347)

1.CASES

Sr. No.	Statements	Item	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1.	I have seen a sufficient number of clinical		9	20	30	225	63
	cases	%	2.6	5.8	8.6	64.8	18.2
2.	I have seen a sufficient number of new	NO	7	23	39	211	67
	clinical cases	%	2.0	6.6	11.2	60.8	19.3
3.	I have seen a good variety of clinical cases	NO	6	8	39	258	36
		%	1.7	2.3	11.2	74.4	10.4
4.	I have seen many interesting clinical cases	NO	7	16	37	228	59
		%	2.0	4.6	10.7	65.7	17.0
5.	I have seen some cases with positive	NO	3	9	31	258	46
	clinical finding	%	0.9	2.6	8.9	74.4	13.3
6.	I have seen some unusual clinical cases	NO	5	48	66	200	28
		%	1.4	13.8	19.0	57.6	8.1

2. AUTHENTICITY OF CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

Sr. No	Statements	Item	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
7.	I have the opportunity to have the first contact experience with patients	NO %	1.2	23 6.6	7.8	241 69.5	52 15.0
8.	I am actively involved in the Patient care	NO	2	8	15	221	101

		%	0.6	2.3	4.3	63.7	29.1
9.	I have opportunity to deal with patients as a	NO	9	13	28	211	86
	real nurse.	%	2.6	3.7	8.1	60.8	24.8
10.	I have opportunity to deal with patient as a	NO	6	26	57	225	33
	whole and is limited to a certain system or organ		1.7	7.5	16.4	64.8	9.5
11.	I have opportunity to apply my previous	NO	4	15	26	240	62
	experience in patient care.	%	1.2	4.3	7.5	69.2	17.9
12.	I have never seen able to write in patient's	NO	8	69	90	160	20
	medical card.		2.3	19.9	25.9	46.1	5.8
13.	I have opportunity to apply a patient-centred	NO	2	29	68	224	24
	approach		O.6	8.4	19.6	64.6	6.9
14.	I have opportunity to take responsibility for	NO	2	11	28	239	67
	patient care.		0.6	3.2	8.1	68.9	19.3
15.	I have opportunity to communicate with	NO	3	7	11	230	96
	patients and their families		0.9	2.0	3.2	66.3	27.7

3. SUPERVISION

Sr. No.	Statements	Item	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	StronglyAgree
16.	My supervisors have good	NO	6	8	34	240	59
	communication skills.	%	1.7	2.3	9.8	69.2	17.0
17.	I have been respected by my	NO	4	14	31	242	56
	supervisors	%	1.2	4.0	8.9	69.7	16.1
18.	The supervisors are committed for		7	8	36	260	36
	teaching	%	2.0	2.3	10.4	74.9	10.4
19.	The way my supervisors deal with	NO	6	17	45	243	36
	nurse students is satisfactory	%	1.7	4.9	13.0	70.0	10.4
20.	I think supervisors have good	NO	1	18	26	248	54
	teaching skills	%	0.3	5.2	7.5	71.5	15.6
21.	I have rarely received good feedback	NO	10	55	45	210	27
	on my clinical performance from my supervisors.	%	2.9	15.9	13.0	60.5	7.8
22.	I think that some supervisors could be	NO	3	15	51	239	39
	considered as role model	%	0.9	4.3	14.7	68.9	11.2

4. ORGANIZATION OF THE NURSE PATIENT ENCOUNTER

Sr. No.	Statements	Item	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	StronglyAgree
23.	The objectives of the clinical rotations	NO	1	15	44	258	29
	are clear		0.3	4.3	12.7	74.4	8.4
24.	Students have some input for the	NO	1	8	56	256	26
	organization and development of the clinical rotation		0.3	2.3	16.1	73.8	7.5
25.	I have the opportunity to prepare before	NO	2	18	52	253	22
	clinical encounter	%	0.6	5.2	15.0	72.9	6.3
26.	I have opportunity to reflect and read	NO	00	14	48	258	27
	after the clinical encounter	%	00	4.0	13.8	74.4	7.8
27.	I have the opportunity to discuss	NO	3	18	38	243	45
	clinical cases with my supervisors	%	0.9	5.2	11.0	70.0	13.0
28.	I have the opportunity to share clinical	NO	4	17	21	249	56
	cases with other students	%	1.2	4.9	6.1	71.8	16.1
29.	The number of students in the clinical	NO	5	25	32	252	33
	sessions is appropriate	%	1.4	7.2	9.2	72.6	9.5
30.	The time spent with my patients is	NO	4	27	34	246	36
	adequate for my clinical learning	%	1.2	7.8	9.8	70.9	10.4
31.	I have the opportunity to utilise skills	NO	2	17	38	252	38
	lab and simulation for clinical cases	%	0.6	4.9	11.0	72.6	11.0
32.	I think assessment of clinical learning	NO	2	7	38	271	29
	is aligned with objectives	%	0.6	2.0	11.0	78.1	8.4
33.	I was given enough assignments during	NO	2	13	27	214	91
	my clinical rotations	%	0.6	3.7	7.8	61.7	26.2

5. MOTIVATION/LEARNING SKILLS

Sr. no.	Statements	Item	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	StronglyAgree
34.	I adequately know my learning needs	NO	5	6	27	263	46
		%	1.4	1.7	7.8	75.8	13.3
35.	I know my limitations	NO	2	8	13	242	82
		%	0.6	2.3	3.7	69.7	23.6
36.	I am eager to learn	NO	5	6	27	238	71
		%	1.4	1.7	7.8	68.6	20.5
37.	I am able to look for new information	NO	4	8	15	234	86
		%	1.2	2.3	4.3	67.4	24.8
38.	I come to clinical sessions prepared	NO	3	12	15	253	64

Kavita Verma, Ms. Dimple Chauhan, Ms. Meena Negi, Ms. Kusha, Ms. Lovepreet, Ms. Manisha

	and ready	%	0.9	3.5	4.3	72.9	18.4
39.	I enjoy learning in clinical sessions	NO	2	6	16	233	90
		%	0.6	1.7	4.6	67.1	25.9
40.	I am able to express myself and show	NO	3	10	27	228	79
	confidence	%	0.9	2.9	7.8	65.7	22.8

Table 8: Descriptive Statistics related to Clinical Learning Domains.

N=347

Sr. No.	Domain	Range		Mean ± SD	Mode	Median
		Min.	Max.			
1.	Cases	10	30	23.14 ± 3.14	24	24
2.	Authenticity of clinical experience	21	45	35.05 ± 4.03	36	36
3.	Supervision	11	35	27.01 ± 3.56	28	28
4.	Organization of the nurse patient encounter	29	55	42.76 ± 4.28	44	44
5.	Motivation/learning needs	12	35	28.56 ± 3.35	28	28
TOTAL		92	200	156.52 ± 14.80	160	158

Table 9: Shows strong positive Co-relation at the 0.01 level among domains of CLEQ:

(N=347)

S.NO.	Domain		Cases	Authenticity of clinical experience	Super- vision	Organization Of the nurse patient encounter	Moti- Vation/ Learning skills	Total
1.	Cases	r	1	.601**	.395**	.582**	.493**	.750**
		p-value		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
2.	Authenticity of	r	.601**	1	.510**	.643**	.560**	.835**
	clinical Experience	p-value	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
3.	Supervision	r	.395**	.510**	1	.607**	.491**	.750**
		p-value	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
4.	Organization of the	r	.582**	.643**	.607**	1	.648**	.880**
	nurse patient encounter	p-value	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
5.	Motivation/	r	.493**	.560**	.491**	.648**	1	.789**
	Learning skills	p-value	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
TOTA	Ĺ	r	.750**	.835**	.750**	.880**	.789**	1
		p-value	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
**. Cor	relation is significant	at the 0.01	level.	1	1	1	1	1

3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In the present study, the challenges faced in the cases are Exposure to Unusual Clinical Cases, Variety of New Clinical Cases, Interest Level of Clinical Cases and Sufficient Number of Clinical Cases and Cases with Positive Clinical Findings.

A similar study was done by Mari Wolff Skaalvik, Hans Ketil Normann, and Nils Henrikse conducted a study on 511 nursing students, results indicated that students placed in nursing homes rated their clinical learning environment significantly more negatively across nearly all sub-dimensions compared to those placed in hospital settings.¹¹

A study was conducted by Akyüz E, Ergöl Ş, on 235 nursing students showed Nearly half (49.8%) of nursing students reported challenges in clinical practice, mainly due to nurses, hospital environment, and theoretical education. About 70.7% could only partially apply classroom learning to clinical settings. Students recommended more practical lessons and techintegrated, innovative teaching methods. ¹²

Authenticity of clinical experience

In present study it was revealed that in the domain of Authenticity of clinical experience, the challenges faced by the nursing students are Opportunity to Write in a Patient's Medical Card, Opportunity to Deal with Patients as a Whole, Opportunity to Apply a Patient-Centered Approach and First Contact Experience and Active Involvement in Patient Care.

A Similar study was conducted by Ergezen FD, Akcan A, and Kol E, on 1484 nursing students showed 53.8% reported satisfaction with the clinical learning environment. Students were most satisfied with technical skills and interpersonal caring experiences. The average score on the Clinical Learning Environment Scale was 70.12 (SD = 9.25). ¹³

A contradictory study was conducted by Khan A, Begum H, and Rehman AU, a total of 259 participants, consisting of 127 student nurses and 132 paramedic students participated the results revealed nursing and paramedic students faced significant challenges in the clinical environment, including high anxiety, stress, and limited hands-on practice. Students also struggled with the use of medical equipment during clinical rotations.¹⁴

Supervision:

In present study it was revealed that in the domain of supervision majority of students faced the challenges related to Feedback on Clinical Performance, Satisfaction with Supervisors' Interaction and Supervisors as Role Models, Commitment to Teaching and Communication Skills and Respect from Supervisors and Teaching Skills.

Ali I. AlHaqwi, Jeroen Kuntze, and Henk T. van der Molen conducted a study with 182 undergraduate medical students to develop the Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire. Factor analysis identified six key areas, including supervision, authenticity, and motivation, with strong overall reliability ($\alpha = 0.88$). Notable score differences were found in supervision and organization of doctor-patient encounters. ¹⁵ Berhe S. and Gebretensaye T. conducted a qualitative study with 75 final-year nursing students to explore challenges in the clinical learning environment. Key issues identified were students' lack of readiness, limited clinical supervision, and an unsupported clinical setting. ¹⁶

Organization of the Doctor Patient encounter:

The present study found that students faced challenges in doctor-patient encounter organization, including limited input in rotation planning, unclear objectives, lack of preparation and reflection time, minimal supervisor interaction, limited skills lab use, and overcrowded clinical sessions.

Hanan Abo Bakr Mohamed, Hanan Mohamed Badran, and Adel Al-Wehedy Ibrahim conducted a study with 222 undergraduate nursing students to assess clinical learning challenges using the CLEQ. Findings emphasized motivation and supervision as key factors, with strong correlations between total CLEQ scores and domains like cases, supervision, organization, and motivation. The study suggests that targeted improvements in these areas could significantly enhance the clinical learning environment.¹⁷

A contradictory study was conducted by Jafarian-Amiri SR, Zabihi A, Qalehsari MQ, to explore challenges encountered by nursing students in clinical practice. Following a two-stage application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 32 articles were selected for analysis. Data were predominantly collected through interviews. The findings indicate that student nurses commonly face challenges such as violence, fear, anxiety, socio-cultural barriers, environmental-organizational stressors, and ineffective clinical education.¹⁸

Motivation and learning skills:

In present study it was revealed, that in the domain of motivation and learning skills majority of students faced challenges related to Knowledge of Learning Needs and Eagerness to Learn, Preparedness for Clinical Sessions and Ability to Express Oneself and Show Confidence, Ability to Seek New Information and Enjoyment of Learning in Clinical Sessions and Awareness of Limitations.

A similar study was done by Elisabeth Carlson and Ewa Idvall, to evaluate nursing students' experiences in the clinical learning environment, gathering data from 260 student nurses. The findings indicated an overall positive evaluation of the

Kavita Verma, Ms. Dimple Chauhan, Ms. Meena Negi, Ms. Kusha, Ms. Lovepreet, Ms. Manisha

clinical learning environment. Specifically, the sub-dimension of Supervisory relationship and motivation received the highest mean value, while the lowest score was observed in the Leadership style of the ward manager sub-dimension.¹⁹

A study was conducted by AlAzri Z, Al Yahyaei A, Obeidat AA, Hayudini J to investigate and interpret nursing students' perceptions of the challenges encountered during their clinical experiences. The study enrolled a total of 32 participants, and data were gathered through focus group discussions. The result of the study shows the, challenges hindering self-directed learning, the theory-practice gap, insufficient practice opportunities, and lack of confidence.²⁰

Overall Scoring:

The maximum score obtained for the overall CLEQ was 200 and the mean score is 156.52 with standard deviation of 14.80. There is a strong positive co-relation at the 0.01 level among all the domains of CLEQ i.e., Cases, Authenticity of clinical experience, Supervision, Organization of the nurse patient encounter and Motivation/learning needs.

The study identified key challenges across CLEQ domains. In the **Cases** domain, 34.2% of students reported limited exposure to unusual clinical cases, with similar concerns about variety and engagement. Under **Authenticity**, 22.2% found limited opportunities to write in patient records, and over 25% struggled with applying a patient-centered approach.

In the **Supervision** domain, feedback and supervisor interaction posed challenges, with nearly 20% expressing dissatisfaction. The **Organization** domain revealed issues with student input, preparation, and case discussions, with 15–21% of students reporting concerns. **Motivation and learning skills** showed moderate challenges, particularly in learning preparedness and self-expression.

A strong positive correlation was found at the 0.01 level among all CLEQ domains, indicating interrelated factors influencing the clinical learning environment.

Shows that there is a strong positive co-relation at the 0.01 level among all the domains of CLEQ i.e., Cases, Authenticity of clinical experience, Supervision, Organization of the nurse patient encounter and Motivation/learning needs. Shows that there is a highly significant association at p<0.01 level of type of hospitals for posting with CLEQ. There was no significant association of age (in years) and year of course with CLEQ.

4. CONCLUSION

As regarding Clinical Learning Evaluation Questionnaire, the finding of the present study revealed that motivation and supervision parts were the most benefit parts of clinical training. While the other parts such as authenticity, organization and cases items are also important as per the agreement. This finding in the same line with AlHaqwi et al., (2014) who stated that, Clinical supervision is important in both undergraduate and postgraduate education. **Nursing Practice** involves applying theoretical knowledge to real patient care, developing clinical skills through assessment, treatment, documentation, and teamwork under supervision. Concluding, Clinical learning experience is crucial for nursing students, shaping their learning process. Factors like limited hospital placements, gaps in knowledge & practice, and restricted hands-on practice impact their training & overall academic learning which demotes their confidence. Examining clinical placements provides insights into these challenges. The study findings help institutions address deficiencies and improve clinical education. This study aim to assess the challenges faced by nursing students in the clinical learning environment.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Papastavrou E, Dimitriadou M, Tsangari H, Andreou C. Nursing students' satisfaction of the clinical learning environment: a research study. BMC nursing. 2016 Dec;15(1):1-0.
- [2] Panda S, Dash M, John J, Rath K, Debata A, Swain D, Mohanty K, Eustace-Cook J. Challenges faced by student nurses and midwives in clinical learning environment—A systematic review and meta-synthesis. Nurse Education Today. 2021 Jun 1;101:104875.
- [3] Ip WY, Chan DS. Hong Kong nursing students' perception of the clinical environment: a questionnaire survey. International journal of nursing studies. 2005 Aug 1;42(6):665-72.
- [4] Allari RS, Farag MK. Nursing students' expectations regarding clinical training: a qualitative study. International Journal of Nursing. 2017;7(3):63-70.
- [5] Levett-Jones T, Lathlean J, Higgins I, McMillan M. Staff-student relationships and their impact on nursing students' belongingness and learning. Journal of advanced nursing. 2009 Feb;65(2):316-24.
- [6] SPapathanasiou IV, Tsaras K, Sarafis P. Views and perceptions of nursing students on their clinical learning environment: Teaching and learning. Nurse education today. 2014 Jan 1;34(1):57-60.
- [7] Saarikoski M, Kaila P, Lambrinou E, Cañaveras RM, Tichelaar E, Tomietto M, Warne T. Students' experiences

- of cooperation with nurse teacher during their clinical placements: an empirical study in a Western European context. Nurse education in practice. 2013 Mar 1;13(2):78-82.
- [8] Hamshire C, Willgoss TG, Wibberley C. 'The placement was probably the tipping point'—The narratives of recently discontinued students. Nurse Education in Practice. 2012 Jul 1;12(4):182-6.
- [9] Anagor CR, Lukpata FE, Ikechukwu-Okoroezi JN, Obiora MU. Attitude of Nursing Students Towards Work in the Clinical Learning Environment. International Journal of Studies in Nursing. 2021 Mar 22;6(1):54.
- [10] AlHaqwi AI, Kuntze J, Van Der Molen HT. Development of the clinical learning evaluation questionnaire for undergraduate clinical education: factor structure, validity, and reliability study. BMC Medical Education 2014;14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-14-44
- [11] Mari Wolff Skaalvik, Hans Ketil Normann, Nils HenriksenClinical learning environment and supervision: experiences of Norwegian nursing students a questionnaire surveyJournal of Clinical NursingVolume 20, Issue 15-16 p. 2294-2304EDUCATIONAL ISSUES IN NURSING PRACTICE.
- [12] Akyüz E, Ergöl Ş. The challenges experienced by nursing students in clinical learning environment and their suggestions. Journal of Health and Nursing Management. 2022;9(3):463-474.
- [13] Dursun Ergezen F, Akcan A, Kol E. Nursing students' expectations, satisfaction, and perceptions regarding clinical learning environment: A cross-sectional, profile study from Turkey. Nurse Educ Pract. 2022 May;61:103333. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103333. Epub 2022 Mar 18. PMID: 35334345.
- [14] Khan A, Begum H, Rehman AU, Khan A. Experiences of healthcare students and the challenges posed by their clinical learning environment. Central European Journal of Nursing and Midwifery. 2020 Mar 9;11(1):19-24.
- [15] AlHaqwi et al.: Development of the clinical learning evaluation question naire for undergraduate clinical education: factorstructure, validity, and reliability study. BMC Medical Education 2014 14:44.doi:10.1186/1472-6920-14-44.
- [16] Berhe S, Gebretensaye T. Nursing students challenges towards clinical learning environment at the school of nursing and Midwifery in Addis Ababa University. A qualitative study. International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences 2021b;15:100378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2021.100378.
- [17] Hanan Abo Bakr Mohamed.1, Hanan Mohamed Badran.2, Adel Al- Wehedy Ibrahim. Clinical Learning Environment Challenges among Nursing Students nternational Journal of Novel Research in Healthcare and Nursing Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (1646-1656), Month: May August 2019, Available at www.noveltyjournals.com.
- [18] Jafarian-Amiri SR, Zabihi A, Qalehsari MQ. The challenges of supporting nursing students in clinical education. J Edu Health Promot 2020;9:216.
- [19] Elisabeth Carlson, Ewa Idvall, Nursing students' experiences of the clinical learning environment in nursing homes: A questionnaire study using the CLES+T evaluation scale, Nurse Education Today, Volume 34, Issue 7,2014,
- [20] AlAzri Z, Al Yahyaei A, Obeidat AA, Hayudini J. Clinical experience of omani undergraduate nursing students: Qualitative study. Heliyon. 2023 Oct 1;9(10).