Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 24s (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

Surgical Advantages Of Bariatric Surgery: A Comprehensive Review

Omar Usmani[1], Maryam Fatima[2], Dr. Sharique Ahmad[3]

[1]MBBS Intern, Department Of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Era University, Lucknow U.P. -226003 India

[2]MBBS Undergraduate, Dubai Medical College for Girls, Dubai Medical University, Muhaisanah 1, Al Mizhar, Dubai-20170, UAE

[3]Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Era University, Lucknow U.P. -226003 India

***Corresponding Author:**

Omar Usmani

MBBS Intern, Department Of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Era University, Lucknow U.P. -226003 India

Email ID: omarusmani2001@gmail.com

Cite this paper as: Omar Usmani, Maryam Fatima, Dr. Sharique Ahmad, (2025) Surgical Advantages Of Bariatric Surgery: A Comprehensive Review. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (24s), 443-449.

ABSTRACT

Bariatric surgery is the modern revolutionary surgery used to treat morbid obesity because it has made it possible for people to thoroughly lose weight permanently and also helps people to have a bit of their obesity-related problems corrected. It analyses surgical technology, surgical benefits and risks to health in bariatric surgical options explored by current practices. Restrictive, malabsorptive and combination bariatric procedures are different kinds of procedures that operate on restriction and absorption that can be customized to suit patient-specific needs and body profiles. Each weight loss operation approach is followed by patients who have different balances between the success rate of the procedure and follow-up results and the complexity of the procedure.

Compared to nonsurgical weight loss interventions, bariatric surgeries allow less perioperative morbidity rates for less invasive surgery, provide a better opportunity for other surgical procedures, and lower the long-term need for reoperations. Whilst it assists patients in solving vital secondary condition which may be type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea, it also promotes high quality life span.

Two major advancements in addressing surgical safety and doing procedures properly are robotic surgery and the use of staple line reinforcement technology. After bariatric interventions, patients experience psychosocial advantages together with physical results that enhance how they view themselves as well as their mental state and their fertility abilities. The surgical procedures bring along several drawbacks and limitations. In-depth patient evaluations and thorough consent processes become crucial because surgical risks, long-term behaviour needs, and challenging selection criteria combine to make bariatric surgery more impactful.

The review evaluates the importance of team collaboration between different medical professionals to reach optimal results. The review evaluates contemporary evidence while addressing complete procedural and patient-oriented elements to establish the essential significance of bariatric surgery in current obesity therapy while proposing future improvements for more widespread access and enhanced effectiveness(1)(3)(6).

Keywords: *Bariatric surgery, morbid obesity, surgical technology, malabsorption, weight Loss*

1. INTRODUCTION

Researchers now identify obesity as one of the leading global health issues which stand among the essential public healthcare priorities of this century. Bariatric surgery has become the essential therapeutic option for people with morbid obesity because lifestyle modifications and pharmacologic interventions generally fail to lead to long-term weight control. The operative procedures used for bariatric surgery to change gastrointestinal anatomical structures and function provide weight loss benefits which extend to multiple body systems (1)(2).

Modifications in bariatric procedures throughout the last few decades followed the development of knowledge about obesity

pathophysiology as well as research on body weight regulation systems involving gut hormones and metabolism. Today's

bariatric surgical innovations allow physicians to offer safe and effective treatment options as a primary medical solution for severe obesity and weight-related complications. Thus bariatric surgery stands as the front-running therapeutic choice(4,5).

Type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension dyslipidaemia, obstructive sleep apnoea and cardiovascular disease collectively reduce the quality of life to dangerous levels and enhance overall mortality risk. Bariatric surgery alters long-term health results through its fundamental treatment of metabolic and mechanical factors responsible for these diseases (3)(6). The term metabolic surgery, which is sometimes duplicated with bariatric surgery, shows how these operations affect patients through systems which extend beyond caloric control.

Bariatric surgery experiences ongoing challenges, although it has gained popularity in both practice and understanding. The selection process for patients proves difficult because it needs extensive examinations that combine nutritional assessments with tests of psychological and physical health. Healthy results from weight loss surgeries require patients to maintain life modifications, schedule regular check-ups and receive staged multidisciplinary medical assistance for long-term success (7).

The article examines bariatric surgery by detailing operative methods together with their benefits and technological improvements alongside an assessment of resulting clinical results. This paper examines the essential nature of bariatric surgery for obesity management today, as well as structured implementation strategies that lead to safe and effective outcomes(1)(4)(6).

Types of Bariatric Surgery

Bariatric surgery encompasses several distinct operative techniques, each varying in anatomical alterations, mechanisms of action, and patient suitability. The three different types of gastrectomy procedures include restrictive techniques malabsorptive techniques, and combination procedures. The surgical selection relies on both patient demographic characteristics and physician experience as well as disease complications and treatment choices and individual patient preference (1)(4).

Restrictive Procedures

Followers of restrictive surgical procedures achieve their caloric reduction effects through the limited food capacity of the stomach. Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) stands as the most common restrictive surgical procedure because surgeons remove around 75–80 per cent of stomach tissue to create a slender gastric tube. The stomach modification induces two positive changes because it drastically limits food consumption and, at the same time, reduces hunger-increasing hormone ghrelin production, which contributes to fast feelings of fullness and hormonal appetite control mechanisms. Beneficiaries view LSG favourably because it provides low-technical complexity with minimal adverse events while delivering beneficial weight loss coupled with improved comorbidities.

Malabsorptive Procedures

The purpose of malabsorptive surgical procedures is to reshape the digestive tract to achieve reduced nutrient intake. The fundamental malabsorptive surgical procedures were represented by BPD along with its variation, BPD/DS. Weight loss operations start with gastric sleeve creation before redirecting substantial parts of the small intestine to establish a bypass that limits the intake of calories and nutrients. These surgical procedures produce potent weight reduction effects but cause patients to develop nutritional deficiencies, which necessitate constant medical care following the operation (5)(10). Their clinical adoption was reduced because better alternative procedures entered the market with improved nutritional profiles.

Combination Procedures

Weight reduction, together with metabolic improvement, becomes possible through combination surgeries which unite both restrictive and malabsorptive procedures. Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB) represents the traditional and representative procedure. The surgeon forms a tiny pouch from the stomach, which redirects the patient's food flow to attach onto the jejunum to circumvent most of the stomach and the initial small intestine segment. The combination of restricted eating capacity along with nutrient absorption reduction and hormone-mediated glucose control improvement constitutes the operation's dual action, which leads to successful weight reduction and diabetic stabilization(2)(4). The weight loss success and diabetes remission results of RYGB remain consistent, though patients experience moderate complications like dumping syndrome and micronutrient deficiency risks after the procedure.

Surgeons base their patient-specific interventions on these three surgical procedures as foundational methods. The advancement of bariatric surgical methodology and perioperative patient care continues to improve safety features which makes bariatric surgery an essential medical approach for severe obesity treatment along with its associated complications(6)(8).

Surgical Advantages

Through weight loss surgery patients experience various Physicians breakthroughs that enhance both surgical protection and

extended health benefits together with better access to medical care services. The combination of improved technique refinement along with better perioperative care methods with advanced knowledge about obesity-related medical physiology results in these advantages. The subsequent subsections describe essential surgical advantages that emerge from the subheadings.

Minimally Invasive Techniques and Reduced Morbidity

Laparoscopic and robotic-assisted bariatric surgeries have transformed surgical practices because they enable surgeons to create smaller incisions, which leads to reduced blood loss and shorter recovery times. Minimal-access surgery represents the standard practice for conducting Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) and Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB) operations (4)(6). The surgical approaches yield reduced incidences of wound infections as well as both incisional hernias and pulmonary complications than traditional open surgery. The implementation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols leads to better perioperative results, which allow patients to have shorter hospital stays combined with a faster return to normal function (12).

High Technical Standardization and Reproducibility

The widespread implementation of laparoscopic platforms has standardized technical aspects in bariatric surgeries to a high level. Standardized operational methods, along with evidence-based guidelines combined with surgeon training initiatives, maintained procedural outcomes consistency and reduced practice variations between medical facilities. The procedure for LSG reached a high level of reproducibility through well-defined anatomical marks and standardized techniques for gastric resection with staple line reinforcement mechanisms (13)(17). The reliable surgical approach has delivered advanced preoperative planning benefits which extends to increased safety outcomes for various patient groups.

Improvement and Prevention of Surgical Comorbidities

People who are obese need to face elevated perioperative risks, which include poor wound healing as well as anaesthesia-related complications and cardiovascular stability problems during the surgery period. The risks associated with these medical conditions are reduced substantially when patients undergo bariatric surgery because it enables weight reduction before operations and strengthens respiratory mechanics while managing blood sugar levels(3)(5). Improved heart-pulmonary performance, together with better anaesthetic responses and accelerated wound healing, represent the specific outcomes. BMI reduction stands out in surgical comorbidity prevention because it enhances the risk profiles along with surgical outcomes in cases needing orthopaedic, cardiovascular or gynecologic procedures (7).

a. Cardiac and Respiratory Stability

The outcomes from weight reduction create decreased blood pressure and cardiac workload together with lower pulmonary resistance which results in better anaesthesia stability during surgery and lowered postoperative operational risks.

b. Anaesthetic Safety

Post-weight loss procedures allow Bariatric patients to gain better anaesthetic outcomes through easier airway management in addition to improved ventilation during operations.

c. Lowered operative time and blood loss

The coal face of the conflict is the war against obesity, and by improving patients' optimized physiology and their slender surgical area, in particular, during challenging revisional operations, both complications and operations are reduced, contributing to the patient's benefit.

d. Enhanced Wound Healing

The enhanced postoperative tissue perfusion combined with the reduction in the amount of insulin resistance causes better conditions that decrease surgical dehiscence and infection.

Comparing Effect to Conservative Management, They Have Lower Long Term Reoperation Rates.

Secondly, standard weight loss methods are inferior to bariatric surgery in terms of subsequent surgical interventions, mainly when the goal is to use treatment to treat patients with obesity-related conditions that continue after initial treatment. For example:

The use of LSG and RYGB procedures leads to less occurrence of band-related problems since they eliminate issues related to adjustable gastric banding.

Modern RYGB techniques have brought both lower anastomotic leakages and stricture complications.

The improvement of mesenteric closure techniques reduces this complication which was typical for internal hernias.

Improved Access to Other Surgeries

Weight reduction has the effect of giving patients the ability to qualify for necessary surgical operations for weight reduction

because they become qualified candidates for joint replacements and hernia repairs as well as organ transplants. Decreased BMI qualifies patients for weight loss, which makes them eligible for critical surgeries as better surgical visibility and reduced chance of anaesthesia as well as procedure complications are offered by the weight loss process(7)(11).

Resolution of Comorbidities: Surgical Implications

The therapeutic outcomes from bariatric surgery surpass weight management since it can efficiently settle or alleviate major complications stemming from obesity. The clinical data shows that LSG and RYGB procedures effectively decrease the frequency and intensity of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease and obstructive sleep apnoea. The following breakdown analyses obesity-related comorbidities together with the surgical mechanisms that support their improvement.

Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Bariatric surgery stands as a powerful medical procedure which successfully remits Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) without needing weight loss as an independent factor. The dynamic changes of gut hormones after RYGB result in fast glycaemic control because of elevated GLP-1 and peptide YY production within days following surgery(3)(6]. The hormonal system works to improve how insulin works with body cells and helps β cells enhance their performance. Scientific findings demonstrate that RYGB leads to 80% successful remission cases in surgical patients also showing better long-term results compared to single medical therapy alone (8). length of time that Type 2 diabetes mellitus exists before surgery determines how successful the remission outcome will be.

Hypertension and Cardiovascular Disease

The development of hypertension and cardiovascular disease is primarily mediated by an obese condition because peripheral resistance, insulin resistance and sympathetic overactivity play a central role. The pathophysiological drivers of these conditions reverse through surgical weight loss together with enhanced insulin sensitivity and regulated hormone levels. This evidence is supported by research findings in 6 and 10. Medical data shows that pressures increase or medications decrease following bariatric surgery for most patients. The combination of reduced left ventricular hypertrophy, better diastolic function, and lower systemic inflammation resulting from surgical weight loss produces reduced CVD events, according to research(7). Patient survival rates demonstrate a reduced risk of heart attacks and strokes and a lower death rate from cardiovascular diseases following bariatric surgery procedures.

Obstructive Sleep Apnoea (OSA)

The heart disease risk, insulin resistance, and diminished quality of life exist from obstructive sleep apnoea, which affects multiple demographics of obese individuals. The upper airway obstructs more during sleep when individuals possess excessive neck and pharyngeal region adipose tissue. Bariatric surgery achieves OSA treatment by helping patients lose weight which decreases pharyngeal tissue volume and enhances respiratory functioning while opening their airways during sleep periods. After surgery, most patients achieve lower apnoea-hypopnea index scores thus making them no longer eligible for continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment. The majority of patients experience major symptomatic improvement in addition to better sleep quality after bariatric surgery treatment.

Technical Innovations Enhancing Surgical Safety

During recent time, it increases the phase of bariatric surgery achieving the period of technological developments that increase the effectiveness and the procedural safety attached with the safety. As more of the obese with multiple medical conditions have their team surgeries, they use modern surgical innovations for improved outcomes. Major progress has been made in bariatric surgery with the integration of robotic assistance, as well as methods of reinforcement of the staple line.

Robotic Bariatric Surgery

Robotics provided a transformative development in the delivery of bariatric care as it offers further precision and superior visualization coupled with boosted dexterity than conventionallaparoscopic techniques offered (16). Neurologically refined 3D imaging and tremor reduction can be supported by robot assisted devices, and movable surgical tools are designed with the capabilities of working in narrow anatomical areas (6)(10). These features when implemented in the surgical tools offer substantial benefits in the treatment of super obese patients as well as in revisional bariatric procedures that pose restricted access and visibility.

The robotic system allows medical practitioners to maintain better ergonomics and reduces exhaustion while maintaining technical consistency throughout long and challenging operations. Experienced laparoscopic surgeons need a brief period to learn robotic bariatric surgery practices. Research findings show robotic platforms lead to equal or better medical outcomes during Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and revisional surgical procedures for both postoperative complication rates and procedure duration(10)(16). Data on cost persists but improved durability along with fewer intraoperative complications encourages high-volume centres to adopt robotic surgery systems.

Staple Line Reinforcement

Staple line leaks together with bleeding represent among the most dangerous postoperative issues which can occur after LSG or RYGB bariatric surgical interventions. Surgeons have started to enhance their staple line reinforcement by using absorbable buttressing strips and oversewing techniques and sealants in order to manage potential risks (6). The techniques achieve three main objectives: reinforcing staple lines, minimizing tissue stress, and decreasing postoperative complications.

The evidence shows that reinforcement of staple lines decreases leak rates, especially in sleeve gastrectomy, where extended staple lines are exposed to high pressures inside the abdomen (15). The implementation of reinforcement methods diminishes postoperative bleeding severity in the early period after surgery, which cuts down on transfusion needs and reoperation requirements. Surgical guidelines, along with recent meta-analyses, support reinforcement techniques, although medical professionals continue debating its absolute necessity for every patient (17).

Quality of Life and Surgical Readiness

Through its metabolic changes bariatric surgery creates major improvements in patient life quality which also strengthens mental well-being and operational efficiency and reproductive success. These patient aspects require attention for proper surgical preparation which extends to achieving lasting success beyond operative procedures.

Psychological and Functional Improvement

Bariatric surgery patients demonstrate major psychological enhancements in self-esteem and mood, which leads to improved social capabilities after successful weight loss. The psychological advantages often match the physical benefits in magnitude because severe obesity previously caused numerous people to experience depression and social isolation together with anxiety [1][9]. The surgical reduction of body mass index typically produces increased social confidence and better daily performance from patients, including improved work activities, exercise practice, and regular socializing.

The procedure helps patients move with more freedom and experience less muscle pain, which helps them achieve enhanced independence. Weight-bearing knee and lower back joints benefit from pain reduction based on observations from numerous surgical patient studies. Improved sleep quality and stamina, together with enhanced physical abilities, create both better life quality and enhanced opportunities for maintaining weight loss through elevated physical activity levels. The patient's continued motivation and adherence to the postoperative regimens derive from both functional and emotional benefits generating better long-term outcomes.

Psychological evaluations performed before surgery consist of preparation evaluations and mental health diagnosis screenings as well as subjective expectation assessments. The identification of patients who may face postoperative mental health deterioration and damage through non-compliance to dietary protocols is done by experienced mental health practitioners. The practice of assessing and developing psychological strength represents a fundamental element of complete bariatric treatment programs.

Fertility and Obstetric Surgery

Research has shown that obesity serves as an established factor which causes subfertility and detrimental pregnancy complications. Above-average pregnancy outcomes are possible after bariatric surgery, especially among women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), due to hormonal balance recovery and normal ovulatory function (11)(13). After losing notable weight, numerous females regain their menstrual cycles while facing better opportunities to conceive both through natural means and assisted reproductive services.

Medically, bariatric surgery lowers the odds that obese women will develop gestational diabetes, preeclampsia or macrosomia during pregnancy. Medicine recommends women wait 12 to 18 months after surgery before trying to conceive, yet patients need nutritional counselling and must reach a stable weight to reduce health dangers to themselves and their newborn child (13). The proper management of malabsorptive procedures demands pregnant patients to receive careful nutritional counselling along with continuous observation since deficiencies of iron, folate, and fat-soluble vitamins are still possible when not managed correctly (11).

Risks and Limitations

Bariatric surgery delivers many benefits to patients yet patients should understand all potential risks and operational boundaries ahead of time. Preoperative decisions heavily depend on such aspects so their complete explanation to patients becomes imperative for obtaining valid consent and maintaining reasonable expectations.

Eligible candidates need to be aware that bariatric surgical operations possess the potential to lead to premature surgical complications. Haemorrhage and infection with venous thromboembolism and adverse anaesthetic reactions make up the list of potential complications (7). The reduction of serious complications becomes possible due to minimally invasive techniques and perioperative care improvements yet all medical procedures do associate risks. Patients who have severe obesity, as well as those who have undergone abdominal surgery, face additional technical challenges that make the surgical operation more complex.

Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, along with other malabsorptive and combination surgical procedures, leads to nutritional

deficiencies that become a significant long-term restriction. Patients who fail to take their prescribed nutrient supplements face a high risk of developing iron deficiency along with vitamin B12 insufficiency and calcium and fat-soluble vitamin A, D, E and K deficiencies(6)(11). The patient requires lifelong dietary management followed by frequent laboratory testing and individualized care to stop anaemia progression as well as prevent bone deterioration and neurological damage.

The psychological adjustment to changes in postoperative lifestyle represents an important restraint to success. Patients commonly report issues with body image perception and might develop problems with their eating habits that include grazing and binge eating. Weight loss sustainability can be challenged because these issues need ongoing behavioural therapy or support to resolve them.

(2). Significant weight reduction does not remove the mental weight of leftover post-surgical skin which eventually requires plastic surgery for attaining optimal daily quality of life.

The surgical procedure leads to weight regain for specific patients who undergo procedures several years after their initial operation. Weight regain after bariatric surgery happens because of pouch dilation alongside gastrojejunal anastomotic enlargement as well as patient failure to follow dietary plans (10). The degree of weight regain between patients post-operation differs substantially yet most patients successfully keep weight off baseline measurements; therefore active structured long-term follow-up with lifestyle reinforcement should remain a standard of care.

Healthcare systems, alongside insurance policies and patient financial resources, restrict patients' access to bariatric surgery procedures. Treatment delays and restricted population access to multidisciplinary teams occur because of inadequate waiting periods and limited team presence in particular healthcare settings. The documented benefits of bariatric surgery need evaluation along with its clinical, psychological and systemic boundaries to achieve optimal outcomes that match equitable levels of care.

Sadly, bariatric surgery also has its area of limitations. It is necessary for patients to receive support not only during the perioperative period, but also during the long-term follow-up since they have to maintain constant vigilance around their nutrition because of the multitude of possible complications along with having to adapt psychologically and regain weight.

Weight loss surgery changes the fundamentals of life expectancy as it brings back physical well-being with mental health and recovered fertility capable of more straightforwardly undergoing life's critical surgical interventions. Bariatric surgery also has multiple health benefits, so it is not only weight loss surgery; rather, it is a way to a better quality of life and to be back to self-sustaining operation.

Although there is ongoing obstacles, bariatric surgery provides superior benefits than possible challenges. My extrapolations indicate further improvement of equal access programs and of surgical and long-term care systems will be required for the complete realization of life altering benefits from bariatric surgery. Doctors must concede that bariatric surgery is an essential active approach to fighting obesity with its secondary health effects.

2. CONCLUSION

Bariatric surgery is an effective therapeutic procedure to deal with severe obesity along with its distinct comorbidities. Finally, as the surgical procedures progress from pure restrictive toward a mixture of restrictive and malabsorptive techniques, surgeons are able to provide specific and appropriate therapy for varying types of patients. However, bariatric surgery is continuing to establish its fundamental importance in obesity management because it causes long term weight loss alongside bettering metabolic health and increased life quality.

The cosmetic and mechanical advantages of weight loss surgery are surpassed by the complete systemic changes seen with a resolution of diabetes mellitus type 2 as well as hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea. Confirming medical and economic usefulness as treatment options, such as surgical weight loss interventions lower mortality rates and cut back healthcare costs.

Improvements in safety performance and operational consistency while furthering and improving modern technological developments have advanced weight loss procedures. Surgical research has also been shown to improve precision and lessen risks in surgeries, and robotic systems have been well-matched to staple reinforcement techniques. This has enlarged the patient population because laparoscopic access has the several advantages of short hospitalization periods and reduced postoperative pain, which allows the patient to resume their normal daily activities very soon.

Financial Support and sponsorship: Nil

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, et al. The incidence of comorbidities related to obesity and overweight: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health. 2009; 9:88.

[2] Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, et al. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900,000 adults: Collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. Lancet. 2009; 373(9669):1083–1096.

[3] Hruby A, Hu FB. The Epidemiology of Obesity: A Big Picture. Pharmacoeconomics. 2015; 33(7):673–689.

[4] World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. 2022. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight

[5] Hammond RA, Levine R. The economic impact of obesity in the United States. Diabetes MetabSyndrObes. 2010; 3:285–295.

[6] Bray GA, Ryan DH. Medical therapy for the patient with obesity. Circulation. 2012; 125(13):1695–1703.

[7] Wadden TA, Butryn ML, Wilson C. Lifestyle modification for the management of obesity. Gastroenterology. 2007; 132(6):2226–2238.

[8] Look AHEAD Research Group. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: Four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170(17):1566–1575.

[9] Sjöström L, Narbro K, Sjöström CD, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on mortality in Swedish obese subjects. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(8):741–752.

[10] Adams TD, Gress RE, Smith SC, et al. Long-term mortality after gastric bypass surgery. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(8):753–761.

[11] Mechanick JI, Apovian C, Brethauer S, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Perioperative Nutritional, Metabolic, and Nonsurgical Support of Patients Undergoing Bariatric Procedures. EndocrPract. 2019; 25(12):1346–1359.

[12] American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS). Estimate of bariatric surgery numbers, 2011–2019.

[13] Thorell A, MacCormick AD, Awad S, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Bariatric Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society Recommendations. World J Surg. 2016; 40(9):2065–2083.

[14] Afshar S, Rajaei MH, Amini M, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on orthopaedic surgery outcomes: A systematic review. Obes Surg. 2020; 30(7):2561–2571.

[15] Birkmeyer NJ, Dimick JB, Share D, et al. Hospital complication rates with bariatric surgery in Michigan. JAMA. 2010; 304(4):435–442.

[16] Sudan R, Nguyen NT, Hutter M, et al. Robotic surgery in bariatric procedures. SurgEndosc. 2021; 35(5):2091–2100.

[17] Rosenthal RJ, Diaz AA, Arvidsson D, et al. International sleeve gastrectomy expert panel consensus statement: Best practice guidelines based on experience of >12,000 cases. SurgObesRelat Dis. 2012; 8(1):8–19.