Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 32s (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

Concept Analysis: Effectiveness of Pulmonary Interventions Package on Health-Related Quality of Life and Clinical Outcomes among COPD Patients in Selected Hospitals

Jitendra Singh[1*], Prof. Dr. S P Subashini[2]

[1*]Ph.D. Research Scholar cum Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh.

ORCID ID:https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5553-6388

[2]Professor CUM Dean Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh.

Cite this paper as: Jitendra Singh, Prof. Dr. S P Subashini, (2025) Concept Analysis: Effectiveness of Pulmonary Interventions Package on Health-Related Quality of Life and Clinical Outcomes among COPD Patients in Selected Hospitals. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 1433-1436.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary rehabilitation is a cornerstone of managing chronic respiratory conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, and post-surgical pulmonary dysfunctions. While pharmacologic therapies provide symptomatic relief, non-pharmacological strategies have gained recognition for their role in enhancing pulmonary function and health-related quality of life (HRQL). A combination of techniques including incentive spirometry, pursed-lip breathing, and yoga-based respiratory practices such as Anulom-Vilom, Bhramari, and Kapalbhati, collectively form what can be termed a Pulmonary Interventions Package (PIP). This package represents a structured, holistic, and evidence-based intervention framework aimed at promoting respiratory strength, endurance, and mental well-being in COPD patients. However, despite its increasing application in clinical settings, the concept of a "Pulmonary Interventions Package" remains underexplored in literature. This concept analysis aims to define, describe, and delineate the key components, attributes, and implications of this evolving clinical construct in the context of COPD.

2. AIM OF THE CONCEPT ANALYSIS

The aim of this analysis is to define and clarify the concept of Pulmonary Interventions Package (PIP) as a non-pharmacological, nurse-led, and patient-centered intervention that improves respiratory function and overall well-being in individuals diagnosed with COPD. The analysis will apply Walker and Avant's eight-step method to explore the attributes, antecedents, consequences, and empirical referents of this concept.

3. USES OF THE CONCEPT

The Pulmonary Interventions Package is a structured intervention that incorporates multiple therapeutic techniques aimed at strengthening the respiratory system. These include:

- Incentive Spirometry: Encourages deep breathing to promote lung expansion and prevent atelectasis (Eltorai et al., 2018).
- Pursed-Lip Breathing: Reduces air trapping and improves ventilation by controlling exhalation (Faager et al., 2008).
- Yoga Breathing Techniques:
    - Anulom Vilom: An alternate nostril breathing exercise to enhance respiratory efficiency and balance (Sengupta, 2012; Sharma & Bhargav, 2020).
    - Bhramari: A humming bee breath technique that calms the mind and reduces respiratory resistance (Telles et al., 2018; Jain et al., 2019).
    - Kapalbhati: A breathing exercise focused on exhalation to clear the lungs and improve airflow (Kuppusamy et al., 2020).

These interventions collectively aim to improve respiratory mechanics, reduce symptoms of breathlessness, enhance oxygenation, and foster a mind-body connection that supports long-term health.

4. DEFINING ATTRIBUTES

Key attributes that define the Pulmonary Interventions Package include:

- Structured and Comprehensive: The package uses a set of different breathing techniques, arranged in an organized way, to help improve breathing and lung health step by step.
- Non-pharmacological: The interventions focus on physical exercises and breathing techniques rather than medication.
- Strength-Focused: The goal is to enhance respiratory muscle strength and improve lung capacity.
- Holistic: The package incorporates both physical and mental techniques to improve overall well-being.
- Patient-Centered and Nurse-Led: The interventions are designed to be patient-specific and are often implemented and monitored by nurses and respiratory therapists.

5. MODEL CASE

Mr. Suresh, a 60-year-old male diagnosed with moderate COPD, is enrolled in a nurse-led pulmonary rehabilitation program. He follows a Pulmonary Interventions Package for a duration of 21 days (3 weeks), which includes:

- Incentive spirometry: 10 repetitions, three times daily
- Pursed-lip breathing: Practiced during physical activities and episodes of breathlessness
- Yoga-based breathing exercises:
    - Anulom Vilom for 5 minutes
    - Bhramari for 3 minutes
    - Kapalbhati for 5 minutes(All practiced once daily under supervision)

After 3 weeks, Mr. Suresh reports improvements in his ability to breathe more easily, increased stamina, and reduced use of inhalers. He also experiences enhanced psychological well-being due to the calming effects of the yoga techniques. This model case demonstrates all defining attributes of the Pulmonary Interventions Package.

6. BORDERLINE CASE

Ms. Rita, a 55-year-old woman recovering from abdominal surgery, has been advised to use incentive spirometry three times a day to prevent lung complications and improve breathing. She follows this routine regularly and experiences gradual improvement in her lung function. However, she is not practicing other breathing techniques such as pursed-lip breathing or yogic breathing exercises like Anulom Vilom, Bhramari, or Kapalbhati. Although her care includes a part of the Pulmonary Interventions Package, it lacks the comprehensive, multi-technique approach that defines the full package. Therefore, this case reflects a borderline example—it partially fits the concept but does not meet all the defining features.

7. CONTRARY CASE

Mr. Khan, who is suffering from COPD, is only prescribed bronchodilators and antibiotics. None of the prescribed interventions address the non-pharmacological, strength-building aspects of pulmonary rehabilitation, making this an example of care that does not fit the Pulmonary Interventions Package concept.

8. ANTECEDENTS AND CONSEQUENCES

Antecedents:

- Diagnosis of COPD
- Patient willingness and ability to engage in physical activities and breathing exercises
- Assessment of lung function indicating decreased capacity or airflow limitation
- Availability of resources and training for the interventions

Consequences:

- Improved lung function (e.g., increased FEV1, FVC)
- Reduced symptoms such as dyspnea and fatigue

- Enhanced psychological well-being and quality of life
- Improved oxygen levels in the blood and better breathing performance overall
- Reduced reliance on pharmacological treatments

9. EMPIRICAL REFERENTS

Empirical referents to measure the impact of the Pulmonary Interventions Package include:

- Pulmonary Function Tests (e.g., FEV1, FVC)
- Pulse oximetry (for oxygen saturation levels)
- Borg Dyspnea Scale (measuring dyspnea intensity)
- COPD Assessment Test (CAT) (measuring COPD severity)
- 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT) (for endurance and functional status)
- HRQL Instruments (e.g., SGRQ, WHOQOL-BREF)
- Patient-reported outcomes (for subjective reports of improvement)

10. CONCLUSION

The Pulmonary Interventions Package offers a structured, evidence-based approach to enhance respiratory function in individuals with COPD. By integrating incentive spirometry, pursed-lip breathing, and yoga-based techniques like Anulom Vilom, Bhramari, and Kapalbhati, the package supports both physiological and psychological well-being. It emphasizes non-pharmacological, nurse-led care that strengthens respiratory muscles, improves oxygenation, and reduces symptoms such as breathlessness and fatigue. This concept analysis clarifies the defining attributes, antecedents, and measurable outcomes of the package. The model promotes a holistic view of COPD care, targeting not just lung function but also quality of life. Its application can reduce hospital readmissions, dependence on medications, and improve patient satisfaction. Incorporating this package into pulmonary rehabilitation programs can provide long-term benefits. The analysis also highlights its relevance in promoting self-management and patient engagement. Overall, the package represents an innovative, patient-centered strategy in chronic respiratory care.

REFERENCES

[1] Eltorai, A. E. M., Baird, G. L., & Lowenberg, D. W. (2018). Incentive spirometry to prevent pulmonary complications after abdominal surgery. *BMJ Open Respiratory Research*, 5(1), e000204.

[2] Faager, G., Ståhl, E., & Wollmer, P. (2008). Pulmonary rehabilitation and physical training in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: An update. *Respiratory Medicine*, 102(12), 1715–1720.

[3] Sengupta, P. (2012). Health impacts of yoga and pranayama: A state-of-the-art review. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 3(7), 444–458.

[4] Telles, S., Singh, N., & Balkrishna, A. (2018). Role of yoga in preventing and managing noncommunicable diseases. *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine*, 23(2), 225–228.

[5] Kuppusamy, M., Kamaldeen, D., Pitani, R., & Amaldas, J. (2020). Effects of yoga breathing practice on pulmonary function and quality of life of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Integrative Medicine Research*, 9(2), 100–110.

[6] Sharma, M., & Bhargav, H. (2020). Efficacy of Anulom Vilom Pranayama in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A pilot study. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, 11(3), 199–204.

[7] Jain, S., Mangal, A., & Bansal, R. (2019). Effect of Bhramari Pranayama on pulmonary function in patients with COPD. *Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 63(1), 50–55.

[8] McCarthy, B., Casey, D., Devane, D., Murphy, K., Murphy, E., & Lacasse, Y. (2015). Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2015(2), CD003793.

[9] Spruit, M. A., Singh, S. J., Garvey, C., et al. (2013). An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Key concepts and advances in pulmonary rehabilitation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 188(8), e13–e64.

[10] Garvey, C., Bayles, M. P., Hamm, L. F., et al. (2016). Pulmonary rehabilitation exercise prescription in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Review of selected guidelines. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention*, 36(2), 75–83.

[11] Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). (2023). *Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of COPD*. https://goldcopd.org

[12] Holland, A. E., Hill, C. J., Rasekaba, T., et al. (2013). Updating the minimal important difference for six-minute walk distance in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 94(2), 370–376.

[13] Mahler, D. A., & Wells, C. K. (1988). Evaluation of clinical methods for rating dyspnea. *Chest*, 93(3), 580–586.

[14] Jones, P. W., Harding, G., Berry, P., Wiklund, I., Chen, W. H., & Kline Leidy, N. (2009). Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *European Respiratory Journal*, 34(3), 648–654.

[15] Wilson, I. M., & Jones, P. W. (1999). A comparison of the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and the Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire (CRQ) in patients with COPD. *Thorax*, 54(11), 1045–1049.

[16] Skevington, S. M., Lotfy, M., & O'Connell, K. A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. *Quality of Life Research*, 13(2), 299–310.

[17] Salvi, S. S., & Agrawal, A. (2012). India needs a national COPD prevention and control programme. *Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*, 60(Suppl), 5–7.

[18] Yohannes, A. M., & Connolly, M. J. (2004). Pulmonary rehabilitation programmes in the UK: A national representative survey. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 18(4), 444–449.