

Surgical and Orthodontic Management of Cleft Lip and Palate: A Comprehensive Review

Dr. A.Srivel Vigneswari MD¹, Dr. Nitesh Mishra², Dr. Akilan.R³, Dr. Aastha Purohit⁴, Dr. K.Santhi⁵, Dr. M. Gunasekaran⁶

¹Dpt of oral and maxillofacial surgery, private practioner,Indra Dental Clinic,Sambakulam,k.pudur, madurai

Email ID: srivelvigneswari@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry ,Baba Kinaram Autonomous State Medical College , Chandauli (UP) .

Email ID: mishranit25@gmail.com

³Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sree Balaji Dental College & Hospital, Chennai.

Email ID: drakilanomfs@gmail.com

⁴dpt of orthodontics, Centre Head and Orthodontist at Chandigarh MakeOtoothsi

Email ID: purohit.ortho2020@gmail.com

⁵MD (Pediatrics), Assistant Professor, Department Of Pediatrics, Karur Government Medical College And Hospital, Karur, Tamil Nadu. The Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. India.

Email ID: drksanthimbbs@gmail.com

⁶MDS,Associate Professor,Department Of Orthodontics And Dentofacial Orthopedics,Vinayaka Mission's Sankarachariyar Dental College,A Constituent College Of Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (Deemed To Be University),Ariyanoor, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email ID: drgunasekaranmds@gmail.com

.Cite this paper as: Dr. A.Srivel Vigneswari MD, Dr. Nitesh Mishra, Dr. Akilan.R, Dr. Aastha Purohit, Dr. K.Santhi, Dr. M. Gunasekaran, (2025) Surgical and Orthodontic Management of Cleft Lip and Palate: A Comprehensive Review. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 2452-2455.

ABSTRACT

Cleft lip and palate (CLP) are among the most common congenital craniofacial anomalies, resulting from the incomplete fusion of embryonic facial prominences during development. The multifactorial causes of CLP involve genetic factors and environmental risks, such as maternal smoking and folic acid deficiency. In addition to the anatomical defect, CLP adversely affects feeding, speech, maxillary growth, and psychosocial well-being, necessitating a multidisciplinary treatment approach from infancy to adulthood. Management of CLP follows a structured protocol that aims to enhance both facial aesthetics and functional outcomes. Primary surgical interventions—including cleft lip repair within the first six months and cleft palate closure before 18 months—are crucial for early rehabilitation. Secondary treatments, such as alveolar bone grafting and orthognathic surgery, address residual skeletal and occlusal discrepancies. Recent advancements in surgical techniques and orthodontic management are pivotal for guiding maxillary development and ensuring long-term stability. Future innovations in tissue engineering, three-dimensional printing, and artificial intelligence-driven planning stand to improve surgical precision and patient outcomes. This review consolidates contemporary evidence to establish an effective, patient-centered framework for CLP management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip and palate result from the failure of embryonic facial prominences to fuse properly during intrauterine development, leading to structural discontinuity of the lip, alveolus, and/or palate. The etiopathogenesis of CLP is multifactorial, implicating genetic predisposition and environmental influences, including maternal smoking, alcohol consumption, folic acid deficiency, and teratogenic drug exposure. This congenital anomaly affects not only the craniofacial complex but also impairs essential functions such as feeding, breathing, phonation, and psychosocial adaptation, thereby necessitating a multidisciplinary approach for optimal rehabilitation.¹

The impact of CLP extends beyond its primary morphological defect, as it significantly alters maxillary growth, dental occlusion, and speech articulation. Unrepaired clefts disrupt the integrity of the oral and nasal cavities, contributing to feeding difficulties in infancy and long-term speech impediments due to velopharyngeal insufficiency. Additionally, secondary

Dr. A.Srivel Vigneswari MD, Dr. Nitesh Mishra, Dr. Akilan.R, Dr. Aastha Purohit, Dr. K.Santhi, Dr. M. Gunasekaran

maxillofacial deformities, including maxillary hypoplasia and dental malocclusions, frequently necessitate sequential interventions spanning from neonatal presurgical orthopedics to adolescence and adulthood. ^{2,3,4}

Management of CLP follows a structured, phased protocol, beginning with neonatal evaluation and extending into adulthood for definitive occlusal and facial rehabilitation. The treatment pathway involves primary surgical repair during infancy, orthodontic guidance of developing dentition, alveolar bone grafting in mixed dentition, and orthognathic surgery for final skeletal correction. Innovations in surgical techniques, biomaterials, and three-dimensional (3D) digital planning have significantly improved functional and aesthetic outcomes, reducing the incidence of secondary deformities and optimizing facial harmony. Moreover, the integration of advanced orthodontic mechanics, such as distraction osteogenesis and customized orthognathic planning, has facilitated more precise correction of dentofacial anomalies associated with CLP. 5,6

This review synthesizes the prevailing evidence on best practices for CLP management, emphasizing an integrative and patient-centered treatment framework. By exploring contemporary surgical methodologies, orthodontic strategies, and emerging technologies, this review aims to provide clinicians with an updated perspective on optimizing care for patients with cleft lip and palate.

Surgical Management of Cleft Lip and Palate 7,8

Primary Surgical Repair

The foundational goal of primary CLP repair is to re-establish anatomical continuity while optimizing functional and aesthetic outcomes. The timing of surgical intervention is guided by principles of craniofacial growth and neurodevelopmental milestones.

Cleft Lip Repair

- Typically performed between **3-6 months** of age to facilitate perioral musculature function and facial symmetry.
- Commonly employed techniques include:
 - o **Millard's Rotation-Advancement Flap**: A dynamic approach that maintains tissue integrity while achieving an optimal lip contour.
 - o **Tennison-Randall Triangular Flap**: Suitable for cases requiring precise vermilion border realignment and tension-free closure.
- Postoperative protocols emphasize scar modulation, oral habilitation, and early speech intervention.

Cleft Palate Repair

- Ideally conducted between 9-18 months, prioritizing restoration of velopharyngeal competence for unimpeded speech development.
- Surgical techniques include:
 - Von Langenbeck Palatoplasty: A traditional two-flap closure that facilitates anatomical realignment while minimizing tissue tension.
 - o **Furlow's Double-Opposing Z-Plasty**: Enhances velopharyngeal function by lengthening the soft palate and reducing postoperative fistula formation.
 - o **Bardach Two-Flap Palatoplasty**: Enables effective mobilization of mucoperiosteal flaps to optimize hard palate closure.
- Longitudinal follow-up is essential to assess speech resonance and velopharyngeal sufficiency.

Secondary Surgical Interventions

Despite advancements in primary CLP repair, residual deformities or functional deficits often necessitate secondary interventions.

Alveolar Bone Grafting

- Typically performed between **7-11 years**, preceding canine eruption, to facilitate alveolar continuity and provide orthodontic anchorage.
- Autogenous bone grafts (e.g., iliac crest) remain the gold standard for achieving optimal osseous integration.
- Grafting improves arch stability and enhances prosthodontic rehabilitation in cases of agenesis or dental malalignment.

Velopharyngeal Insufficiency (VPI) Management

- Persistent speech dysfunction post-palatal repair warrants secondary surgical interventions:
 - o Pharyngeal Flap Surgery: Augments velopharyngeal closure by advancing a posterior pharyngeal flap.
 - Sphincter Pharyngoplasty: Involves repositioning of pharyngeal musculature to refine nasopharyngeal competence.

Orthognathic Surgery

- Skeletal discrepancies, notably maxillary hypoplasia, often necessitate Le Fort I osteotomy to achieve optimal
 occlusal relationships.
- **Distraction osteogenesis** provides an alternative approach for gradual skeletal advancement, reducing relapse risk and improving facial proportions.
- Orthognathic procedures are meticulously coordinated with orthodontic therapy to maximize long-term stability and function.⁹⁻¹²

Orthodontic Management of Cleft Lip and Palate

Pre-Surgical Infant Orthopedics

- Nasoalveolar Molding (NAM) serves as an adjunctive pre-surgical therapy to reduce cleft width, optimize nasal symmetry, and facilitate primary lip repair.
- NAM has demonstrated efficacy in minimizing surgical burden and improving long-term soft tissue aesthetics.

Interceptive Orthodontics (6-12 Years)

- The mixed dentition phase necessitates proactive orthodontic intervention to guide maxillary arch development:
 - Maxillary Expansion: Rapid palatal expansion (RPE) or quad-helix appliances are employed to address transverse deficiencies.
 - o **Space Maintenance and Regaining**: Ensuring adequate spacing for permanent dentition, particularly in preparation for alveolar grafting.
 - o **Early Orthopedic Modulation**: Addressing sagittal discrepancies through protraction facemask therapy in cases of maxillary retrusion.

Comprehensive Orthodontic Treatment (Adolescence and Adulthood)

- Comprehensive orthodontics entails fixed appliance therapy to achieve ideal occlusal relationships and prepare for surgical interventions if indicated.
- Orthodontic-surgical coordination ensures optimized dental decompensation before orthognathic surgery.
- Post-surgical orthodontic refinement is pivotal in achieving stable functional and aesthetic outcomes.

2. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Innovations in surgical, orthodontic, and regenerative medicine strategies shape the evolving landscape of cleft lip and palate management. Future research and clinical advancements will focus on:

- Personalized Treatment Approaches: The integration of genomic profiling and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven treatment planning may facilitate individualized treatment protocols, optimizing surgical timing and orthodontic interventions.
- Advancements in 3D Printing and Biomaterials: Custom-designed 3D-printed scaffolds for alveolar bone grafting and palatal reconstruction, along with bioengineered tissue grafts, hold potential to revolutionize surgical outcomes.
- Tissue Engineering and Stem Cell Therapy: The application of mesenchymal stem cells and growth factor-enhanced scaffolds in bone regeneration could reduce reliance on autologous grafting, enhancing postoperative healing and reducing donor site morbidity.
- Robot-Assisted Surgery: The use of robotic-assisted systems for precise osteotomies and soft tissue manipulations could minimize surgical trauma and improve procedural accuracy.
- Speech and Functional Rehabilitation Innovations: The development of biofeedback-driven speech therapy and implantable prosthetic devices may enhance post-surgical speech outcomes and velopharyngeal function.
- Long-Term Outcomes and Psychosocial Research: Continued investigation into quality-of-life metrics, psychosocial adaptation, and long-term craniofacial growth patterns will refine interdisciplinary treatment models

3. CONCLUSION

The comprehensive management of cleft lip and palate (CLP) requires a multidisciplinary, patient-centered approach integrating surgical, orthodontic, and rehabilitative strategies to restore both function and aesthetics. Advances in primary surgical techniques, secondary interventions such as alveolar bone grafting and orthognathic surgery, and adjunctive orthodontic treatments have significantly improved long-term outcomes. Innovations in digital planning, distraction osteogenesis, biomaterials, and regenerative medicine continue to refine treatment precision and reduce complications. Future advancements, including artificial intelligence-driven treatment protocols, 3D printing, and tissue engineering, hold the potential to further enhance patient-specific care. Long-term follow-up and psychosocial support remain essential to optimizing functional rehabilitation and quality of life. By continually integrating emerging technologies and evidence-based practices, the field of CLP management will continue to evolve, ensuring superior outcomes for affected individuals.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vyas T, Gupta P, Kumar S, Gupta R, Gupta T, Singh HP. Cleft of lip and palate: A review. Journal of family medicine and primary care. 2020 Jun 1;9(6):2621-5.
- [2] Mahdi A. Shkoukani M, Chen M, Vong A. Cleft lip a comprehensive review Frontiers in pediatric, 2013; 1(53): 1-10.
- [3] Goodacre T, Swan M. Cleft lip and palate: current management. Paediaterics and Child Health, 2008; 18(6): 283-292
- [4] Muhamad A, Azzaldeen A, Watted N. Cleft Lip And Palate; A Comprehensive Review. International Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Sciences ISSN: 2277-2103 (Online), 2014; 4(1): 338-355.
- [5] Koch H, Grzonka M, Koch J. Cleft malformation of lip, alveolus, hard and soft palate, and nose (LAHSN)- a critical view of the terminology, the diagnosis and gradation as a basis for documentation and therapy. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 1995; 33: 51-58.
- [6] Mosahebi A, Kangesu L. Cleft lip and palate. Surgery (Oxford), 2006; 24(1): 33-37.
- [7] Millard, D. Cleft Craft: The Evolution of Its Surgery—Volume I: The Unilateral Deformity; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1976.
- [8] Aycart MA, Caterson EJ. Advances in cleft lip and palate surgery. Medicina. 2023 Nov 1;59(11):1932.
- [9] AbdEl-Ghafour, M.; Aboulhassan, M.A.; El-Beialy, A.R.; Fayed, M.M.S.; Eid, F.H.K.; El-Gendi, M.; Emara, D. Is Taping Alone an Efficient Presurgical Infant Orthopedic Approach in Infants with Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate? A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cleft Palate Craniofac. J. 2020, 57, 1382–1391
- [10] Brown, G. A System for The Surgical Correction of Harelip and Cleft Palate. JAMA 1905, 44, 848–859. [CrossRef] Pool, R.; Farnworth, T.K. Preoperative lip taping in the cleft lip. Ann. Plast. Surg. 1994, 32, 243–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- [11] Monasterio, L.; Ford, A.; Gutiérrez, C.; Tastets, M.E.; García, J. Comparative study of nasoalveolar molding methods: Nasal elevator plus DynaCleft® versus NAM-Grayson in patients with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate. Cleft Palate Craniofac. J. 2013, 50, 548–554. [CrossRef]
- [12] Abhinav, B.A.; Batra, P.; Chander Sood, S.; Sharma, K.; Srivastava, A.; Raghavan, S. Comparative Study of Presurgical Infant Orthopedics by Modified Grayson Method and Dynacleft With Nasal Elevators in Patients With Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate-A Clinical Prospective Study. Cleft Palate Craniofac. J. 2021, 58, 189– 201. [CrossRef]
- [13] Shetye P. Orthodontic management of patients with cleft lip and palate. APOS Trends in Orthodontics. 2016 Nov 1;6(6):281-.28
- [14] Azzaldeen A, Watted N, Muhamad AH. Cleft lip and palate: protocol for orthodontics treatment. Global Journal of. 2021 Jul.