Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 32s (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

FinTech Adoption and Financial Inclusion in Rural India

Anchit Jhamb[1]

[1]Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri, Mohali, Punjab, India 140307, Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Management Department

Cite this paper as: Anchit Jhamb (2025) FinTech Adoption and Financial Inclusion in Rural India. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 3054-3062.

ABSTRACT

FinTech innovation has emerged as a transformative force in India's evolving financial landscape, offering new pathways to bridge the long-standing divide between formal financial services and rural populations. As traditional banking institutions continue to grapple with challenges in reaching remote and underbanked areas, FinTech platforms—powered by mobile technology, digital identity frameworks, and real-time payments infrastructure—present scalable, cost-effective, and accessible alternatives. This paper explores the intricate relationship between FinTech adoption and financial inclusion in rural India, using a conceptual framework based on three core dimensions: Access, Usage, and Quality.

Drawing from credible secondary sources such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI), Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI), and Census data, the study conducts a comparative, state-wise analysis to examine how regional variations in digital infrastructure, gender equity, and policy orientation influence the effectiveness of FinTech services. It finds that while southern and western states demonstrate strong digital adoption and ecosystem readiness, others—particularly in central and eastern India—struggle due to infrastructural deficits, digital illiteracy, and trust-related concerns.

The study also highlights the non-linear nature of FinTech impact, revealing that positive outcomes typically emerge only after certain threshold levels of smartphone penetration, internet access, and literacy are reached. In addition, it identifies gender disparity, cyber fraud concerns, and lack of vernacular design as significant obstacles to meaningful engagement with digital financial services. Based on these insights, the paper recommends targeted policy interventions in infrastructure expansion, digital education, localized FinTech design, and rural ecosystem development.

Ultimately, this research underscores the importance of context-sensitive, inclusive, and collaborative approaches to digital finance, aiming to convert technological promise into sustainable financial empowerment for India's rural population.

Keywords: *FinTech adoption; Financial inclusion; Rural India; Digital infrastructure; Gender equity; Trust in digital finance.*

1. INTRODUCTION

India's pursuit of financial inclusion has historically been hampered by logistical, infrastructural, and socio-economic challenges, especially in rural areas, where over 65% of the population resides. Traditional financial institutions, constrained by branch-based models and operational costs, have struggled to establish a stronghold in these regions. However, the advent of Financial Technology (FinTech) has introduced new paradigms of financial service delivery, offering a beacon of hope for rural empowerment through digital means.FinTech refers to technology-enabled innovation in financial services that improves and automates the delivery and use of these services. It encompasses a broad array of applications, including mobile banking, digital lending, blockchain, digital wallets, and robo-advisors. In India, FinTech has become an enabler for delivering essential financial services to unbanked and underbanked populations, marking a transition from intuition-driven to data-driven financial ecosystems.The Indian government has laid the groundwork for digital finance through ambitious programs such as Digital India, Jan Dhan Yojana, Unified Payments Interface (UPI), and Aadhaar-enabled services. These have created a robust policy and technical infrastructure that supports FinTech expansion. However, implementation across states is uneven due to varying levels of literacy, internet penetration, gender disparities, and policy focus. For instance, the UPI platform has grown exponentially, with over 9 billion monthly transactions by early 2025 (NPCI, 2025), but adoption in low-income rural households remains inconsistent.Adoption of FinTech in rural India is multifaceted. It depends not only on the availability of mobile networks or smartphones but also on digital literacy, trust in digital financial systems, and ease of use. Behavioral aspects such as reluctance to use technology, fear of cyber fraud, or dependence on informal financial systems further compound the issue. Socio-cultural constraints, particularly around women's access to digital assets, also play a critical role in shaping the digital divide.

Furthermore, India's federal structure implies that state-level policy initiatives, infrastructure investments, and cultural contexts influence FinTech success. States like Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Maharashtra lead in FinTech infrastructure, while states like Bihar, Jharkhand, and Chhattisgarh lag behind due to infrastructural and demographic barriers. This asymmetry necessitates a state-sensitive lens to evaluate financial inclusion outcomes.

This study, therefore, seeks to explore the connection between FinTech adoption and financial inclusion in rural India. It uses secondary data from credible sources like the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI), and National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) to analyze state-level disparities and identify critical enablers and barriers. The paper constructs a conceptual framework based on the three pillars of access, usage, and quality to assess FinTech's role in expanding inclusion.

Importantly, this research recognizes that the impact of FinTech is not linear. Adoption outcomes may depend on the presence of threshold levels of infrastructure or literacy. For example, FinTech services may only lead to tangible financial inclusion when smartphone penetration surpasses a certain point. This calls for nuanced modeling and policy interpretation.

To fully appreciate the transformative role of FinTech in rural India, it is essential to contextualize the deep-rooted barriers that have historically obstructed financial inclusion. Traditional brick-and-mortar banking models have proven inadequate due to the high cost of establishing physical branches in remote villages, lack of trained personnel, and low demand for conventional banking products. Informal financial services — such as moneylenders, chit funds, and unregulated savings groups — have thus remained dominant in many regions. These systems often come with exorbitant interest rates and minimal consumer protection, exacerbating rural financial vulnerability.

The pandemic era provided a critical turning point in financial behavior across the country. As physical distancing became the norm and economic disruptions affected millions, digital payments and online financial services surged. UPI transactions, mobile banking apps, and Aadhaar-based direct benefit transfers (DBTs) gained popularity even in semi-urban and rural areas. However, the rapid pace of digitalization also laid bare several inequalities. Rural populations lacking smartphones, consistent electricity, or internet access were unable to participate in the digital financial revolution. This situation emphasized the urgent need for equitable digital infrastructure development and policy interventions tailored to local realities.

Moreover, the integration of FinTech in rural India is not merely a question of access but one of **meaningful access**. The concept implies not only the availability of services but also the ability of individuals to use them effectively and with confidence. Language barriers, lack of awareness, poor grievance redressal systems, and fear of digital fraud hinder meaningful engagement with digital financial platforms. Building trust in digital systems, especially among first-time users, requires transparent communication, culturally relevant financial education, and community-based outreach models.

From a developmental standpoint, increased financial inclusion through FinTech can unlock multiple socio-economic benefits. Access to formal credit enables farmers to invest in inputs or machinery, reduces their dependence on exploitative credit channels, and fosters resilience to climate shocks. Women, who are often excluded from mainstream financial systems, can gain autonomy and security through digital savings and micro-insurance products. Youth in rural areas can leverage mobile banking for entrepreneurship, remittances, and digital investments. Thus, FinTech can catalyze a more inclusive growth trajectory aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 1 (No Poverty), SDG 5 (Gender Equality), and SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure).

It is also important to acknowledge the evolving regulatory environment that shapes FinTech adoption in India. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and other stakeholders have introduced innovative regulatory frameworks, such as the Regulatory Sandbox initiative and Account Aggregator models, to foster experimentation while safeguarding consumer interests. Additionally, digital identity infrastructure through Aadhaar, biometric authentication, and eKYC (Know Your Customer) has significantly reduced the cost of onboarding customers, enabling even low-income rural populations to access formal finance. However, these regulatory tools must continuously evolve to respond to emerging risks such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and platform monopolies.

In light of these developments, this paper is structured to explore FinTech's potential and limitations in bridging rural financial gaps. The literature review provides a conceptual and empirical foundation for understanding the relationship between digital finance and inclusion. The methodology section outlines the secondary data sources and analytical framework used. The findings highlight inter-state disparities and contextual barriers to FinTech adoption, while the discussion addresses the structural changes required to foster inclusivity. Finally, the conclusion synthesizes insights and presents concrete policy recommendations.

By focusing on rural India—a region that encapsulates both the promise and the challenges of digital transformation—this study contributes to the growing discourse on inclusive digital economies. It aims to provide a nuanced understanding that moves beyond celebratory narratives and offers grounded insights for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers alike.

In conclusion, FinTech holds transformative potential to bridge India's rural financial divide, but realizing this potential requires synchronized efforts in digital infrastructure, financial education, gender empowerment, and localized policy

support. The subsequent sections of this paper aim to provide a deeper analysis of the literature, methodology, empirical findings, and policy prescriptions surrounding this critical subject.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Financial Inclusion and the Role of Digital Technologies

Financial inclusion has evolved beyond the simplistic notion of access to bank accounts. It now encompasses the full suite of financial services—credit, insurance, savings, and remittances—delivered in a reliable, sustainable, and user-friendly manner. The World Bank (2018) defines financial inclusion as ensuring that individuals and businesses have access to useful and affordable financial products and services that meet their needs and are delivered responsibly.

In this broader context, the intersection of digital technologies and finance—termed "digital financial services" (DFS)—has been central to reshaping inclusion strategies, particularly in developing countries. Mobile technology, internet connectivity, and innovations in payment systems have enabled real-time, low-cost transactions. This is especially relevant in rural areas where physical banking infrastructure is scarce or non-existent.

Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2018) in their Global Findex report found that digital payments were a crucial entry point into the financial system for underserved populations. Similarly, Ozili (2018) argued that DFS reduce barriers to financial services by lowering transaction costs, increasing transparency, and expanding credit access.

However, access alone is not sufficient. Bruhn and Love (2014) emphasized that financial access must translate into usage and beneficial outcomes. Therefore, financial inclusion must be assessed through multiple dimensions: availability, accessibility, affordability, awareness, and appropriateness. Technology can enable these, but only if it is deployed inclusively and thoughtfully.

2.2 The FinTech Revolution in India and Its Rural Implications

India's FinTech ecosystem is among the fastest-growing globally. Supported by over 2000 start-ups (RBI, 2023), this sector includes digital payment providers, neo-banks, lending platforms, and insurtech innovators. Key enablers of this revolution include Aadhaar-based digital identity, the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), IndiaStack APIs, and regulatory support from the RBI and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY).

The reach of FinTech into rural India has been facilitated by increased smartphone usage and internet penetration. According to TRAI (2023), India had over 800 million internet users, with a growing share in semi-urban and rural regions. These trends have enabled platforms like Paytm, PhonePe, BharatPe, and government-backed BHIM to reach non-urban consumers.

Liu et al. (2021) demonstrated that FinTech reduces credit constraints for rural borrowers by leveraging alternative data such as mobile usage and digital transactions to assess creditworthiness. Similarly, Sun and Tang (2022) found a strong correlation between digital finance and poverty reduction in Chinese rural areas, indicating transferable lessons for India.

Nonetheless, FinTech's rural potential in India is mediated by digital divides. Yu and Wang (2021) observed that low digital literacy, affordability issues, and weak digital infrastructure hinder the full-scale adoption of FinTech in less developed areas. Women and elderly populations, in particular, remain underrepresented among FinTech users due to trust issues, device ownership gaps, and lack of training (Li, 2024).

2.3 Theoretical Foundations

To frame the diffusion and impact of FinTech, several theoretical lenses are applicable:

Diffusion of Innovations Theory (Rogers, 2003): This theory posits that innovation adoption follows an S-curve pattern among populations—beginning with innovators and early adopters and gradually diffusing to the majority. In rural India, the adoption of FinTech often follows this pattern but is moderated by socioeconomic variables like caste, gender, education, and income.

Finance and Growth Theory (Levine, 2005): This theory establishes a causal link between financial development and economic growth. FinTech, by improving access to credit and savings, can stimulate entrepreneurship and productivity in rural economies.

Capability Approach (Sen, 1999): This framework sees financial inclusion not just as access but as empowerment—the ability to make choices and lead a life one values. FinTech, through its convenience, can offer agency to rural women, farmers, and youth who were previously excluded from formal financial systems.

Additionally, transition and threshold theories, such as Panel Smooth Transition Regression (González et al., 2005) and Hansen's (1999) threshold models, are relevant in capturing the nonlinear relationships between FinTech adoption and financial inclusion. For instance, FinTech's benefits may be muted until a certain threshold of smartphone or internet penetration is achieved, after which benefits increase exponentially.

2.4 Empirical Studies on FinTech and Inclusion

Empirical studies in global and Indian contexts have demonstrated positive but complex relationships between FinTech and financial inclusion. In the Asia-Pacific region, Basnayake et al. (2024) found that digital financial inclusion had a stronger impact in countries with better digital infrastructure. Rapih and Wahyono (2023) also identified threshold effects—FinTech was more impactful in digitally advanced regions than in those with rudimentary ICT infrastructure.

In the Indian context, Kumar and Tandon (2022) used panel data to assess the effect of mobile payments on rural financial inclusion across states. They found that states with high mobile penetration and policy support saw significant improvements in account ownership and usage.

Li and Feng (2020) highlighted that inclusive digital finance promotes consumption smoothing, particularly among rural households vulnerable to income shocks. Lai et al. (2020) also emphasized that FinTech enables SME innovation, which is particularly relevant for agri-based rural economies.

Gabor and Brooks (2017), however, offered a cautionary perspective. They argued that FinTech-driven inclusion is often embedded in global capitalist structures that prioritize data extraction and commercial outcomes over genuine empowerment. This raises concerns about data privacy, consent, and exclusionary design in rural applications.

2.5 Gaps in the Literature

Despite the growing body of literature, several gaps remain:

Granular Regional Analysis: Most studies use national-level or urban-centric data, which obscures the local variations within rural India. There is a need for disaggregated, state- or district-level analysis that captures unique challenges and opportunities.

Nonlinear Modelling: The relationship between FinTech and inclusion is rarely linear. Many studies fail to explore threshold effects—where FinTech benefits kick in only after achieving certain infrastructural benchmarks.

Gender-Sensitive Analysis: Few studies systematically assess how FinTech impacts rural women differently. This is critical given the structural barriers women face in access, ownership, and digital participation.

Trust and Cybersecurity Concerns: The role of trust, cybercrime, and grievance redressal mechanisms in shaping user behavior in rural areas is underexplored.

Integration with Welfare Systems: While India has begun integrating FinTech into schemes like DBT, MGNREGA, and PM-KISAN, academic literature has yet to evaluate the long-term impacts of such integration.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design and Purpose

This study adopts a qualitative-quantitative hybrid approach using **secondary data** and a **conceptual framework** to explore the relationship between FinTech adoption and financial inclusion in rural India. Given the study's aim to assess multidimensional effects—spanning infrastructure, usage, behavioral readiness, and policy environment—the research employs **descriptive, comparative, and interpretive strategies** rather than solely relying on econometric modeling.

The research is **exploratory** in nature, designed to uncover patterns, variations, and structural enablers/barriers across Indian states. It does not aim to produce predictive models but rather to create actionable insights that can inform policy interventions and identify areas for future quantitative modeling or field surveys.

3.2 Conceptual Framework: The A-U-Q Model

The methodology revolves around a **three-pillar framework** inspired by frameworks used by the World Bank and other financial inclusion metrics. The model assesses FinTech-driven financial inclusion through the following dimensions:

Access: Availability of FinTech services, smartphone ownership, mobile and internet penetration, and bank accounts.

Usage: Actual use of FinTech platforms such as UPI, e-wallets, mobile banking, and digital credit/lending platforms.

Quality: Trust in platforms, user experience, affordability, cybersecurity concerns, language inclusivity, and customer support.

This Access-Usage-Quality (A-U-Q) framework allows for a **multidimensional assessment**, revealing the gaps between theoretical availability and real-world effectiveness. For example, access to a bank account may not translate to usage if the user lacks literacy or trust in digital platforms.

3.3 Data Sources

The study uses **secondary data** from the following credible and government-backed sources:

Reserve Bank of India (RBI): State-wise data on digital payment penetration, financial inclusion indices, PMJDY account coverage, and rural bank branch data.

National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI): Transaction volume data on UPI, Aadhaar Enabled Payment Systems (AEPS), and BHIM usage trends by region.

Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI): Data on mobile/internet penetration, broadband subscriptions, and rural telecom infrastructure.

Census of India & NFHS: Socio-demographic indicators, female smartphone ownership, literacy levels, and income profiles.

Ministry of Rural Development & NITI Aayog: Program integration data (e.g., MGNREGA, PM-KISAN DBTs), state-level inclusion metrics, and FinTech interventions in social security delivery.

Academic & Industry Reports: Insights from KPMG, PwC, NASSCOM, and academic databases like Scopus and SSRN are referenced to triangulate policy relevance.

Where numerical data was not available uniformly, qualitative interpretations and proxies were used, such as state rankings on digital readiness, literacy rates, or public-private FinTech partnerships.

3.4 Methodological Tools and Techniques

This study employs the following analytical techniques:

A. Descriptive Statistics and Cross-State Comparison

Using available state-wise data, the paper compares rural FinTech performance using indicators such as:

UPI transactions per capita

Percentage of PMJDY account holders using mobile apps

Female smartphone ownership rate

Internet subscriptions per 100 rural people

Volume of AEPS transactions These descriptive measures offer insights into regional disparities.

B. Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)

QCA allows comparison of cases (Indian states) with multiple causal conditions. For example, it identifies combinations like "High UPI + High Digital Literacy + High Women Ownership = High Inclusion."

C. Case-Based Synthesis

The paper references select states as case studies:

Kerala and Maharashtra as high-performing digital finance states.

Bihar and Chhattisgarh as lagging states with low FinTech usage despite PMJDY coverage. These comparisons allow understanding of context-specific factors influencing inclusion.

D. Threshold Analysis (Conceptual)

Though no formal regression model is used, the methodology builds on threshold theories by identifying critical infrastructural levels (e.g., 60% smartphone penetration or 70% internet coverage) required for FinTech to show a measurable impact on inclusion.

E. Policy Scan and Text Analysis

Policy documents and state-level ICT strategies were scanned using basic textual analysis to assess the extent to which digital finance features in rural development agendas. States with robust digital financial inclusion policies are identified and compared to others lacking clear FinTech strategies.

3.5 Geographic and Demographic Scope

The study focuses on **rural regions across 28 Indian states**. Union Territories were excluded due to data inconsistencies. The rural population is defined using Census of India criteria: areas with a population of less than 5,000, density below 400 per sq. km, and at least 75% male workforce in agriculture.

The study places special focus on **three vulnerable segments** within rural populations:

Women, due to the digital gender divide.

Smallholder farmers, due to reliance on informal finance and seasonal incomes.

Low-income youth, as a high-potential yet underbanked group.

3.6 Ethical Considerations

As this research utilizes secondary data already in the public domain, no formal ethical clearance was required. However, due care was taken to:

Use reliable, cited sources.

Acknowledge regional disparities without stigmatization.

Maintain objectivity in state-wise comparisons.

All referenced studies and datasets are clearly cited, and efforts were made to triangulate information from multiple sources to avoid bias.

3.7 Limitations of the Methodology

While this research design provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations:

Absence of Primary Data: The study relies solely on secondary sources, limiting the ability to capture lived experiences, behavioral motivations, or intra-household financial dynamics.

Data Gaps: Uniform state-level data on FinTech adoption (e.g., women's use of mobile wallets) is scarce, which necessitated the use of proxies.

No Econometric Testing: Although threshold effects are conceptually discussed, they are not empirically modeled. This leaves space for future research using nonlinear regression or spatial models.

Rapid Evolution: The FinTech landscape is evolving rapidly, and findings may become outdated unless frequently updated.

3.8 Justification for Methodology

Despite its limitations, the adopted methodology provides a **holistic view** of FinTech inclusion dynamics in rural India. It offers **flexibility**, **depth**, and **contextual sensitivity**, essential for addressing the complex and uneven nature of rural digital transformation. The A-U-Q framework and comparative design allow for a richer understanding of enabling conditions, critical barriers, and actionable pathways that may otherwise be lost in aggregate-level econometrics.

The methodology sets the stage for an empirically rich discussion in the next section, which will examine patterns of adoption, variations across states, and socio-cultural barriers inhibiting effective FinTech integration in rural India.

4. Findings and Discussion

The analysis reveals a complex and uneven landscape of FinTech adoption across rural India. While certain states exhibit remarkable progress in digital financial integration, others continue to struggle with infrastructural bottlenecks, digital illiteracy, and socio-cultural barriers. This section unpacks the findings according to the Access-Usage-Quality (A-U-Q) framework and discusses their implications through the lens of gender, geography, and governance.

4.1 Access to FinTech Infrastructure

Access remains the foundational requirement for FinTech-led inclusion. States like Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, and Karnataka have developed robust FinTech ecosystems, characterized by widespread 4G connectivity, high smartphone ownership, and proactive state policies promoting digital governance. For example, Maharashtra's 'Digital Sakhi' initiative empowers rural women with mobile and financial literacy training, significantly improving mobile wallet usage in remote villages.

On the contrary, states such as Bihar, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and Uttar Pradesh present significant gaps in basic digital infrastructure. According to TRAI (2023), Bihar had only 39% rural internet penetration compared to over 80% in Kerala. In many villages, unreliable power supply and patchy network coverage deter consistent usage of mobile-based financial services. Even in areas where mobile phones are present, shared ownership within families—particularly affecting women—restricts independent access.

Furthermore, the rural-urban divide in mobile phone and internet access is exacerbated by income and education inequalities. Households earning below ₹10,000/month often prioritize basic needs over smartphones or data recharges. While government schemes like PM-WANI aim to offer free Wi-Fi hotspots, their rural deployment remains limited and inconsistent.

4.2 Usage of FinTech Services

While access is a prerequisite, usage is a more accurate indicator of financial inclusion. The study finds that despite near-universal bank account coverage through Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), actual usage of digital financial services remains low in several rural districts. According to NPCI (2024), less than 25% of rural PMJDY account holders in northern states used their accounts for UPI transactions or mobile banking. States like Karnataka, Gujarat, and Telangana

show higher FinTech activity due to better digital awareness campaigns, integration with welfare schemes, and availability of regional language apps. For instance, Karnataka's direct benefit transfer (DBT) mechanism linked to UPI-enabled accounts has promoted regular transactions, reducing cash leakage and enhancing user trust.

In contrast, Chhattisgarh and Jharkhand report low levels of digital usage despite having banking accounts. In tribal districts like Bastar or Simdega, FinTech services are either unavailable or culturally alien. Many residents continue to prefer cash-based transactions, citing concerns over fraud, unfamiliarity with app interfaces, or distrust of digital systems.

Moreover, usage is gendered. Women, even when they possess PMJDY accounts, often rely on male family members to operate mobile apps. According to NFHS-5 data (2019-21), only 31% of rural women owned mobile phones and had autonomy in using them. The digital gender divide becomes a critical barrier, especially when FinTech platforms are not tailored to women's literacy levels, language preferences, or socio-cultural constraints.

4.3 Quality of Digital Financial Experience

Quality—the third pillar of the framework—emerges as a critical differentiator in sustaining FinTech usage. Quality encompasses not just interface design and multilingual support, but also the presence of grievance redressal mechanisms, user trust, and security features.

Findings suggest that even in high-access areas, poor service quality discourages continued usage. Common user complaints include app crashes, failed transactions, delayed refunds, and lack of customer support in local dialects. In rural Bihar and Odisha, women report reluctance to use FinTech apps due to fears of making errors and being unable to recover money lost through mistakes or fraud.

Trust is a recurring theme across all regions. Reports of cyber fraud, phishing, and unauthorized transactions have increased in rural India, where users often lack awareness of basic digital hygiene. In many cases, users avoid digital platforms after just one bad experience. A 2022 report by the Digital Empowerment Foundation indicated that 64% of rural users hesitate to use mobile wallets due to fear of fraud and lack of knowledge about dispute resolution.

Another dimension of quality relates to language and interface design. FinTech applications predominantly use English or Hindi, neglecting regional languages like Tamil, Marathi, or Assamese. Moreover, app interfaces often assume a certain level of digital familiarity—icons, OTP verification, biometric authentication—which first-time users may find intimidating. Simplified, voice-assisted, and pictorial apps could drastically improve inclusivity.

4.4 The Role of Government and Ecosystem Support

State-level policy orientation significantly affects FinTech adoption. States that actively partner with FinTech startups, conduct digital literacy drives, and integrate FinTech into welfare delivery show better results. For example, Telangana's collaboration with FinTech companies for delivering agricultural insurance and digital loans to farmers has increased platform usage by over 30% in some districts (NABARD, 2023).

Moreover, FinTech integration with welfare programs like MGNREGA, PM-KISAN, or PDS has led to increased usage of digital banking platforms in states like Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan. However, many states still treat FinTech as a separate or optional service layer rather than an embedded part of welfare infrastructure.

The lack of collaboration between state departments, banking correspondents, and technology providers limits the scale and quality of digital finance. For instance, rural banking correspondents (BCs) are often overburdened, undertrained, or unsupported when transitioning to digital platforms. Strengthening this last-mile agent network is essential for expanding trust and reducing dependence on informal channels.

4.5 Implications for Inclusive Development

The findings underscore that FinTech is not a silver bullet, but a catalytic tool. Its inclusive potential can only be realized when supported by foundational infrastructure, culturally sensitive design, and institutional accountability. The digital divide—across gender, income, region, and caste—must be addressed through a multi-stakeholder strategy involving government, private sector, civil society, and academia.

Importantly, the study highlights the need for a state-sensitive approach to FinTech policy. National-level schemes must be operationalized through local language, context-aware, and trust-enhancing models. For example, voice-enabled financial literacy tools or women-only digital literacy camps can create powerful ripple effects in conservative rural environments.

2. 5. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study underscore the transformative potential of FinTech in bridging the financial divide that has long characterized rural India. The rapid proliferation of mobile technology, increased government focus on digital inclusion, and the entrepreneurial energy within India's FinTech sector have collectively created an enabling environment for extending financial services to previously excluded communities. Yet, the extent and efficacy of FinTech adoption in rural India remain deeply uneven.

States such as Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Gujarat have leveraged digital infrastructure, financial literacy, and supportive policy ecosystems to foster meaningful FinTech inclusion. Conversely, regions like Bihar, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and parts of eastern Uttar Pradesh face severe barriers—ranging from weak network access and gender disparities to trust deficits and limited language-inclusive FinTech design. These disparities highlight the critical importance of viewing financial inclusion not as a uniform national phenomenon but as a localized and context-sensitive challenge.

The **Access-Usage-Quality (A-U-Q)** framework employed in this study has proven effective in capturing the multidimensional nature of FinTech inclusion. Access—ensured through smartphone penetration, connectivity, and bank account ownership—is necessary but not sufficient. Actual **usage** of FinTech services depends on awareness, ease of use, and relevance. Meanwhile, **quality** encompasses the trustworthiness, responsiveness, language compatibility, and user-friendliness of platforms, all of which are pivotal in ensuring sustained adoption.

Moreover, the analysis reveals that **FinTech adoption follows a nonlinear trajectory**. Certain threshold levels of infrastructure and literacy must be met before digital financial tools translate into improved livelihoods. FinTech, therefore, cannot operate in a vacuum. It must be supported by a web of enabling conditions: digital literacy, last-mile infrastructure, behavioral nudges, inclusive regulatory frameworks, and state-level ecosystem development.

The digital gender divide is particularly critical. While national figures on financial access may appear promising due to schemes like PMJDY, the actual control, usage, and benefit derived from digital financial services are disproportionately skewed toward men in rural households. Addressing these structural inequities must become a central concern for FinTech-driven inclusion strategies.

This study contributes to the growing body of literature that advocates for a nuanced, data-informed, and people-centric approach to digital financial inclusion. While the promise of FinTech is undeniable, its inclusive realization will depend on how well it is embedded into India's diverse socio-economic and cultural realities.

REFERENCES

[1] Ahmad, M., Sun, H., & Tang, M. (2021). Digital finance and regional economic development in China. Sustainability, 13(9), 5005. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095005

[2] Basnayake, M. M. S., Wang, C., & Zhang, C. (2024). Does digital financial inclusion promote economic growth? Evidence from Asia-Pacific. Finance Research Letters, 58, 104204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104204

[3] Bruhn, M., & Love, I. (2014). The economic impact of expanding access to finance in Mexico. Review of Financial Studies, 27(1), 234–263.

[4] Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution. World Bank.

[5] Gabor, D., & Brooks, S. (2017). The digital revolution in financial inclusion: international development in the fintech era. New Political Economy, 22(4), 423–436.

[6] González, A., Teräsvirta, T., van Dijk, D., & Pitarakis, J. Y. (2005). Panel smooth transition regression models. Econometric Theory, 21(1), 27–66.

[7] Hansen, B. E. (1999). Threshold effects in non-dynamic panels: Estimation, testing, and inference. Journal of Econometrics, 93(2), 345–368.

[8] Kumar, A., & Tandon, A. (2022). Mobile payments and financial inclusion: Evidence from Indian states. Applied Economics Letters, 29(14), 1304–1310.

[9] Lai, J., Li, L., & Yang, Z. (2020). Digital financial inclusion and SMEs' innovation: Evidence from China. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 158, 120174.

[10] Li, S. (2024). The spatial effects of digital financial inclusion on rural development: Evidence from China. Regional Studies, 58(1), 63–79.

[11] Li, Y., & Feng, Z. (2020). Digital finance and inclusive growth in China. China Economic Journal, 13(3), 258–276.

[12] Liu, Y., Ma, Y., & Zhou, Y. (2021). Digital finance and rural economic development: Evidence from China. Finance Research Letters, 42, 101878.

[13] Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. Borsa Istanbul Review, 18(4), 329–340.

[14] Rajan, R. G. (2009). A hundred small steps: Report of the committee on financial sector reforms. Planning Commission, Government of India.

[15] Rapih, R., & Wahyono, H. (2023). Traditional vs digital financial inclusion: Threshold effects on growth in developed and developing countries. Journal of Economic Development, 48(2), 37–59.

[16] Reserve Bank of India (2023). RBI Handbook of Statistics on Indian States 2023. https://rbi.org.in

[17] Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations (5th ed.). Free Press.

[18] Sun, H., & Tang, M. (2022). Digital financial services and the reduction of rural poverty in China. Economic Modelling, 108, 105738.

[19] Yu, Y., & Wang, Y. (2021). Digital financial inclusion and household welfare: Evidence from China. Journal of Asian Economics, 76, 101386.