

Development and In-Vitro Evaluation of Citicoline Sodium and Risperidone Controlled Release Tablets for Bipolar Disorder

Neetesh Rayakwar^{1*}, Jitendra Kumar Malik¹, Surendra Pratap Singh¹, Deepak Jhariya¹, Sunita Arya¹

Faculty of Pharmacy, P.K. University, Shivpuri (M.P), India.

***Corresponding author:**

Neetesh Rayakwar,

Ph.D Research Scholar, P.K. University, Shivpuri (M.P), India E.mail:

neetesh271190@gmail.com

Cite this paper as: Neetesh Rayakwar, Jitendra Kumar Malik, Surendra Pratap Singh, Deepak Jhariya, Sunita Arya (2025) Development and In-Vitro Evaluation of Citicoline Sodium and Risperidone Controlled Release Tablets for Bipolar Disorder. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 5470-5476.

ABSTRACT

Bipolar disorder poses major treatment challenges, which demand novel drug delivery strategies to provide the most effective treatment with minimal side effects. The objective was to prepare and evaluate controlled release tablets of citicoline sodium and risperidone for improved treatment in management of bipolar disorder. The multiparticulate (combination therapy) was prepared by wet granulation process with the matrix polymers hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC K100M) and ethyl cellulose. Nine formulations of different drug-to-polymer ratios were prepared and characterized. The technique included qualifying pre compression characteristics, post compression features, and in vitro dissolution studies employing USP Apparatus II. It was concluded from the results that formulation F7 with 30% HPMC and 60% ethyl cellulose showed best controlled releasing behavior i.e., 89.4% drug release at the end of 12 hours according to zero-order release kinetics. The drug's release profile was neutral pH independent and uniform throughout the range of media tested. Release rate was found to have comparable relationship with polymer concentration ($p < 0.05$). The resulting formulation exhibited good bioavailability potential with less dosing frequency. This study successfully shows the potential of a controlled release combination therapy for bipolar disorder treatment to achieve sustained drug release, leading to reduced side effects, and, in turn, enhance the treatment compliance and therapeutic efficacy.

Keywords: Controlled release; Citicoline sodium; Risperidone; Bipolar disorder; Matrix tablets...

1. INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder is one of the most difficult mental disorders due to the large number of severe patients (estimated to be at around 2.4% of the global population) (Brown et al., 2015) and is defined by recurrent episodes of mania and depression. It has a major impact on quality of life from cognitive deficits, comorbidity of substance abuse, and a high rate of suicide in patients. Current therapeutic methods mainly include mood stabilizers, antipsychotics, and adjuvant therapy, but patients' compliance with treatment is unsatisfactory because they require frequent doses and have many side effects (Ghajar et al., 2018). Atypical antipsychotics such as risperidone are effective in treating both manic and mixed episodes of bipolar disorder by the antagonism of the dopamine D2 and serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptor (Khan et al., 2015). However, traditional 5-ASA immediate-release formulations need to be administered several times each day, and the levels of 5-ASA in the plasma fluctuate causing side-effects. Citicoline sodium: Sodium citicoline, a neuroprotective agent, is a potential promising adjuvant therapy for bipolar disorder due to cognitive enhancements and mood stabilization (Licata et al., 2011). Recent clinical trials have shown that citicoline is effective in both decreasing cocaine dependence (in bipolar subjects) and increasing cognitive performance (Roohi-Azizi et al., 2017). Controlled release formulations provide an alternative strategy of drug delivery in psychiatric medicines, ensuring steady-state plasma levels that may minimize the need for frequent dosing of drug with the possibility of improved patient compliance. Matrix tablet technology based on hydrophilic (e.g. hydroxypropyl methylcellulose HPMC) or hydrophobic (e.g. ethyl cellulose) polymers is the most widely used platform for predictable drug release profiles (Siepmann & Peppas, 2001). HPMC and ethyl cellulose in matrix-type systems primarily control the release rate of drug by swelling the polymer, its gelation, and dissolution of the matrix (Enayati et al., 2009).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies demonstrate the useful potential of citicoline in the treatment of psychiatric disorders. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Fioravanti and Yanagi (2005) showed the efficacy of citicoline in certain cognitive and behavioural disturbances; having effect sizes of 0.19 for measures of memory. Brown et al. (2012) found substantial reductions in depressive symptoms and increased retention in treatment with citicoline in a randomized controlled trial of bipolar depression and methamphetamine dependence. The trial also demonstrated twice as many completions in the citicoline group versus placebo, and the potential to enhance treatment adherence. Recent studies of Jeong et. (2021) reported that citicoline possessed neuroprotective effects as measured by neuroimaging of gray matter volume increase in MAT patients. The underlying process is through increased synthesis of phospholipids, modulation of neurotransmitter and stabilization of the membranes (Adibhatla & Hatcher, 2005). Such results corroborate citicoline's potential as a useful add-on treatment in bipolar disorder.

With the development of more sophisticated polymer systems, formulation of controlled release systems has made great advances. Malipeddi et al. (2016) formulated risperidone controlled release matrix tablets, with Mythical® and Ethical® mixtures, that followed zero order kinetics over 24h. Pharmacokinetic differences with improved bioavailability and minimal plasma fluctuations over immediate-release tablets were shown in the study. Similarly, Khan et al. (2022) investigated a range of different matrix forming polymers for controlled drug release and they highlight the selection of polymer is crucial for the desired release profile. The development of dissolution testing has advanced to ensure complete characterization of controlled release preparations. The USP General Chapter rationalizes conditions for dissolution testing, where the Apparatus II (paddle method) is commonly used for matrix tablets (USP, 2024). Recent advances, such as biorelevant media testing and in vivo correlation (Wang et al., 2004), have achieved in the field of dissolution technology.

3. OBJECTIVES

Formulation of controlled release matrix tablets of citicoline sodium and risperidone using HPMCK100M and ethyl cellulose: efficacy and bioavailability.

Optimization of Formulation parameters (drug-to-polymer ratios) and its effect on in-vitro drug release properties.

Evaluation of the Physicochemical Properties The physicochemical properties of the developed formulations, namely, hardness, friability, weight variation, and drug content uniformity, were determined.

To develop dissolution profiles and realize release kinetics of the optimized product by a variety of mathematical equations.

4. METHODOLOGY

In this work, the formulation of controlled release tablets containing citicoline sodium and risperidone for the treatment of bipolar disorder was systematically developed and evaluated. The formulation was prepared by wet granulation method, with brilliant precordial mostly throughout the marshy analysis. Citicoline sodium and Risperidone were purchased from commercial suppliers of pharmaceutical grade and they were characterized by FTIR and melting point. Matrix polymers such as HPMC K100M (viscosity 100000 cP) and ethyl cellulose (grade 7FP) were purchased from well-established companies. Excipients such as microcrystalline cellulose, lactose monohydrate, magnesium stearate and talc were purchased as per pharmacopoeial method. The factorial design was 3^2 and the ranges of HPMC K100M (10-30% w/w) and ethyl cellulose (20-60% w/w) contents. The wet granulation technique was used where polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP K30) was used as a binder in 10% w/v alcoholic solution. Content uniformity was targeted at 4mg risperidone and 500mg citicoline sodium per tablet in all formulations. Formulations were evaluated for flow properties like angle of repose, bulk density, tapped density, Carr's index and Hausner ratio.

The tablets were compressed using a rotary tablet machine (Erweka, K-SB®) with compression forces of 8-12 kN to target hardness of 6-8 kg/cm². Post compressed tablet evaluation Post compressed evaluation were weight variation twenty tablets of each batch weight variation as per official method was determined [11]. 3 Hardness Hardness was assessed by M-onsanto hardness tester acceptance limit being 5-10 kg/cm². Friability was performed in a Roche friabilator for 100 revolutions at 25 rpm, with weight loss of <1% being acceptable. Thickness and diameter were measured with a digital caliper having 0.01 mm accuracy. The drug content uniformity of the formulations were determined by assay of 10 tablets individually according to validated HPLC method. Plasma samples were precipitated by methanol-water (70:30) and analyzed by a C18 with UV detection at 280 nm for risperidone and at 254 nm for citicoline sodium. The acceptance limits were 95 – 105% of label claim and RSD < 5%. In vitro dissolution studies In vitro dissolution was performed in 900 mL of dissolution medium using USP Apparatus II (paddle method) at 37 ± 0.5 °C with a paddle speed of 50 rpm. Three media were used: hydrochloric acid 0.1N (pH 1.2), buffer phosphate (pH 6.8), and water to study the pH-independent release pattern data. The samples (10 mL) were collected at different times of intervals (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 h), and were replaced with an equal volume of fresh medium. Drugs were quantified at wavelengths specific for each drug using validated drug-specific UV-spectrophotometric method. Drug release kinetics were studied using different models such as zero-order, first-order, Higuchi model, and the Korsmeyer-Peppas equation to explain and understand the release mechanism. All data were statistically analyzed using ANOVA to compare between formulations and p < 0.05 was set as the limit of significance.

5. RESULTS

Table1:Pre-compressionParametersofGranules

Formulation	Angle of Repose (°)	Bulk Density (g/mL)	TappedDensity (g/mL)	Carr's Index(%)	Hausner Ratio	Flow Property
F1	28.4±1.2	0.487±0.02	0.596±0.03	18.3±1.1	1.22±0.02	Good
F2	26.8±0.9	0.502±0.01	0.611±0.02	17.8±0.8	1.22±0.01	Good
F3	29.2±1.4	0.495±0.03	0.608±0.04	18.6±1.3	1.23±0.03	Good
F4	27.6±1.1	0.489±0.02	0.599±0.03	18.4±1.0	1.22±0.02	Good
F5	25.9±0.8	0.508±0.01	0.615±0.02	17.4±0.7	1.21±0.01	Excellent
F6	28.1±1.3	0.493±0.03	0.605±0.04	18.5±1.2	1.23±0.03	Good
F7	26.3±0.9	0.506±0.02	0.613±0.03	17.5±0.9	1.21±0.02	Excellent
F8	29.8±1.5	0.485±0.03	0.598±0.04	18.9±1.4	1.23±0.03	Good
F9	27.2±1.0	0.499±0.02	0.609±0.03	18.1±1.1	1.22±0.02	Good

The granule evaluation data show that flow properties were good to excellent among all formulations, with angle of repose values between 25.9° and 29.8°. The Carr's indexes (17.4–18.9%) and the Hausner ratios (1.21–1.23) indicate acceptable compressive properties. Formulations F5 and F7 showed excellent flow characteristics, as it had the least Carr's index and indicated good granulation conditions. Bulk and tapped density values were uniform for all the formulations corresponding to uniform granular properties (Table 3), which is quite important for uniform weight and drug content of tablets.

Table2:Post-compressionParametersofTablets

Formulation	Weight (mg)	Hardness (kg/cm ²)	Friability (%)	Thickness (mm)	Diameter (mm)	DrugContent (%)
F1	651±8.2	6.8±0.4	0.52±0.08	3.85±0.12	13.02±0.05	98.7±1.8
F2	648±7.9	7.2±0.5	0.48±0.06	3.79±0.09	13.01±0.04	99.3±1.5
F3	653±8.5	6.9±0.3	0.55±0.09	3.88±0.11	13.03±0.06	98.9±2.1
F4	649±7.7	7.5±0.6	0.44±0.05	3.82±0.08	13.02±0.03	99.8±1.3
F5	652±8.1	7.8±0.4	0.41±0.04	3.84±0.10	13.01±0.05	100.2±1.7
F6	650±8.3	7.1±0.5	0.49±0.07	3.86±0.13	13.03±0.07	98.6±1.9
F7	647±7.6	8.2±0.3	0.39±0.03	3.81±0.07	13.01±0.02	100.5±1.4
F8	654±8.7	6.7±0.4	0.57±0.10	3.89±0.14	13.04±0.08	98.4±2.0
F9	651±8.0	7.4±0.5	0.46±0.06	3.83±0.09	13.02±0.04	99.6±1.6

Post-compression studies indicated that all the formulations complied with pharmacopoeial specifications for tablets. The weight variation was well within the range of 5% for all the batches indicating uniformity of manufacturing process. Hardness values for all formulations were between 6.7 and 8.2 kg/cm² where formulation F7 exhibited an optimum hardness of 8.2 kg/cm² which is necessary for controlled release attributes. All prepared formulations had friability values less than 1%, among which F7 expressed the lowest (0.39%) indicating good mechanical resistance. The uniformity of drug content was within 95 to 105% indicating that, drug distribution was uniform. Consistent dimension over formulations demonstrates the stability of the compression mechanism.

Table3:DissolutionDataforCiticolineSodium(% CumulativeRelease)

Time (hrs)	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9
0.5	12.4±1.2	10.8±0.9	14.6±1.5	9.2±0.8	8.7±0.7	11.3±1.1	7.4±0.6	15.8±1.4	10.1±0.9
1	24.7±2.1	21.3±1.8	28.9±2.4	18.6±1.5	17.2±1.4	22.8±2.0	15.8±1.3	31.2±2.7	20.5±1.7
2	38.2±3.0	34.6±2.8	43.8±3.5	30.1±2.4	28.4±2.2	36.7±2.9	26.3±2.1	46.5±3.8	33.2±2.6
4	52.8±4.1	49.2±3.9	58.7±4.6	44.5±3.5	42.1±3.3	51.3±4.0	39.7±3.1	62.9±5.0	47.8±3.8
6	66.9±5.2	63.8±5.0	72.4±5.7	58.2±4.6	55.9±4.4	65.1±5.1	53.6±4.2	77.8±6.1	62.1±4.9
8	78.5±6.1	75.9±5.9	83.6±6.5	70.8±5.5	68.7±5.4	77.2±6.0	67.2±5.3	88.4±6.9	74.6±5.8
10	87.3±6.8	85.1±6.6	91.2±7.1	81.4±6.3	79.8±6.2	86.9±6.8	78.9±6.2	94.7±7.4	84.2±6.6
12	94.6±7.4	92.7±7.2	96.8±7.5	89.4±6.9	88.1±6.9	93.8±7.3	89.4±7.0	98.2±7.7	91.5±7.1

The dissolution pattern of citicoline sodium presented sustained release behaviour in all the formulations throughout 12 hours. Formulation F7 revealed the best control release with the release of 89.4% of drug at 12h with a relatively low initial burst release (7.4% at 0.5 h). This release is to be a controlled release and is due to the optimized blend of HPMC and ethylcellulose giving good matrix control. Accordingly, f4, f5 and f7 exhibited a slower drug release rate as compared to HPMC rich formulations indicating retarding effect of hydrophobic polymer. Scale by ethanol co-solubility parameters of few diluents were also determined which are presented in table 3. The sustained releasing characteristic of F7 propagates the therapeutic needs for the management of bipolar disorder by twice-a-day dosing.

Table4:DissolutionDataforRisperidone(% CumulativeRelease)

Time (hrs)	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9
0.5	15.2±1.4	13.1±1.1	17.8±1.6	11.5±1.0	10.8±0.9	14.2±1.3	9.3±0.8	19.6±1.8	12.7±1.1
1	29.8±2.6	26.4±2.3	34.2±3.0	22.8±2.0	21.3±1.9	28.1±2.5	19.7±1.7	37.1±3.3	25.6±2.2
2	44.6±3.8	41.2±3.5	49.8±4.2	36.4±3.1	34.7±2.9	43.1±3.7	32.1±2.7	53.2±4.5	39.8±3.4
4	59.7±5.0	56.8±4.8	65.1±5.5	51.2±4.3	49.4±4.2	58.4±4.9	46.8±3.9	68.9±5.8	54.7±4.6
6	72.8±6.1	70.2±5.9	77.9±6.6	64.7±5.5	62.8±5.3	71.6±6.0	60.4±5.1	81.7±6.9	68.1±5.7
8	83.9±7.1	81.7±6.9	87.6±7.4	76.3±6.4	74.9±6.3	82.8±7.0	73.1±6.2	91.2±7.7	79.6±6.7
10	91.4±7.7	89.8±7.6	94.2±8.0	85.7±7.2	84.6±7.1	90.9±7.7	83.8±7.1	96.8±8.2	88.2±7.4
12	96.8±8.2	95.3±8.1	98.7±8.4	92.1±7.8	91.4±7.7	96.2±8.1	91.7±7.8	99.1±8.4	94.6±8.0

It was concluded that the dissolution profiles of risperidone were similar to those of citicoline sodium, indicating successful co-preparation of the 2 drugs with simultaneous release kinetics. Formulation F7 showed 91.7% release at 12h with controlled initial release (9.3% at 0.5h) which may help to diminish dose dumping effects. The superposition of the release profiles for one drug and for the other one point to the successful matrix formulation of the combination therapy. Statistical analysis indicated that differences between the formulations were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), in which polymer concentration played a determinant role to control the release rates. The sustained release properties of F7 are able to obtain therapeutic plasma levels in order to control bipolar disorder according to an effective one administration/day.

Table5:pH-IndependenceStudyforFormulationF7

Time(hrs)	pH1.2(0.1NHCl)	pH6.8(PhosphateBuffer)	Water
1	19.7±1.7	18.4±1.5	20.1±1.8
2	32.1±2.7	30.8±2.6	33.2±2.9
4	46.8±3.9	45.2±3.8	47.9±4.1
6	60.4±5.1	58.9±4.9	61.7±5.3
8	73.1±6.2	71.8±6.0	74.2±6.4
10	83.8±7.1	82.4±6.9	84.9±7.3
12	91.7±7.8	90.3±7.6	92.8±7.9

An independent of pH investigation of the optimized formulation F7, showed similar behavior of the drug release from the matrix system in various pH conditions, indicating the robustness of the matrix system for oral delivery. Release profiles were nearly pH-independent (50 indicated comparable release profiles. This pH-independence guarantees uniform therapeutic behavior at all changes in gastric pH, food effects, and interindividual variability, essential for a reliable treatment of bipolar disorder.

Table6:KineticAnalysisandStatisticalParameters

Formulation	ZeroOrder R ²	FirstOrder R ²	Higuchi R ²	Korsmeyer-Peppas R ²	Release Exponent (n)	Release Mechanism
F1	0.9234	0.8967	0.9456	0.9678	0.742	Anomalous transport
F2	0.9367	0.8845	0.9523	0.9712	0.718	Anomalous transport
F3	0.9156	0.9012	0.9398	0.9589	0.765	Anomalous transport
F4	0.9445	0.8723	0.9634	0.9756	0.689	Anomalous transport
F5	0.9512	0.8656	0.9687	0.9789	0.672	Anomalous transport
F6	0.9298	0.8934	0.9487	0.9645	0.731	Anomalous transport
F7	0.9678	0.8534	0.9823	0.9856	0.658	Anomalous transport
F8	0.9087	0.9145	0.9321	0.9534	0.784	Anomalous transport
F9	0.9389	0.8798	0.9567	0.9698	0.706	Anomalous transport

Kinetic analysis indicates that formulation F7 best follows zero-order kinetics ($R^2=0.9678$) and Korsmeyer-Peppas model ($R^2=0.9856$), suggesting optimum controlled release pattern. The release exponent ($n=0.658$) further confirms anomalous transport mechanism of both diffusion and polymer relaxation. The statistical comparison carried out with ANOVA indicated significant differences between the formulations ($F=12.47, p<0.001$), thus confirming the influence of polymer composition on the release behaviour. The good fit of F7 to the zero-order kinetic for release of the drug indicates that a constant rate of drug release is maintained throughout the period of the study, which is desired for the treatment of bipolar disorder. The non-Fickian transport can robustly control release via both matrix swelling and erosion.

6. DISCUSSION

The preparation of controlled release tablets of citicoline sodium and risperidone may be heralded as breakthrough in pharmacotherapy of bipolar disorder. The most optimized formulation F7 (30% HPMC K100M:60% ethyl cellulose) exhibited excellent attributes to meet important therapeutic compliances of psychiatric drugs delivery. Combining hydrophilic HPMC and hydrophobic ethyl cellulose, a well-strengthened matrix system demonstrated their complementary mechanism for releasing the drug in 12 hours. HPMC led to formation of the initial gel layer and retained matrix integrity,

and ethyl cellulose ensured long-term control release through the hydrophobic barrier properties (Siepmann & Peppas, 2001). This cooperative action led to pH-insensitive release behaviour, crucial for a consistent oral bioavailability. The non-Fickian drug transport mechanism in the optimum formulation suggests a significant contribution of the drug diffusion through the hydrated polymer matrix in combination with polymer chain relaxation. This mechanism of drug release facilitates a greater extent of control over the release of the drug relative to a diffusion-controlled system, resulting in more predictability in the therapeutic effects (Khan et al., 2015). The value of release exponent of $F7 (n = 0.658)$ further confirmed the best matching of diffusion and erosion processes.

Such a controlled release combination treatment is clinically very significant. The shift from the bid to qd dosing schedule could have a major impact on patient compliance, an important concern in the treatment of bipolar disorder for which low adherence rates are well known (Brown et al., 2015). Controlled release goals are meant to maintain steady state therapeutic levels, level plasma concentration fluctuation (side-effect profiles), and efficacy. Citicoline's neuroprotective actions are additional to the antipsychotic effect of risperidone, which offers a rational combination for the treatment of bipolar disorder in its different dimensions. Citicoline has been shown to enhance mood and improve cognitive functioning in several clinical studies, which might be beneficial for the cognitive deficits commonly observed in patients with bipolar disorder (Ghajaret al., 2018). The controlled release delivery pattern optimizes the bioavailability of both drugs with consistent pharmacokinetic ranges. From a manufacturing point of view, the simplicity and scalability advantage the proposed formulation. This wet granulation step is used in order to obtain good content uniformity and good flow characteristics for scale-up to production-sized batches. The resistance matrix system withstands average manufacturing variations yet exhibits the same release properties, meeting industrial production needs.

The direction for further works will be in the following kind: bioequivalence studies to the marketed immediate-release products of the obtained formulation. Accelerated and long-term stability studies according to ICH guidelines will establish shelf life specifications and storage conditions. Trials in bipolar patients assessing efficacy/safety will establish evidence for therapeutic benefit. The economic benefits associated with controlled release products are not confined to reduced manufacturing costs, but also involve healthcare savings resulting from enhanced patient compliance and diminished side effects. Cost-effectiveness should be assessed by health economic studies of this therapy versus standard regimens. Quality of life improvements as a result of achieving decreased dosing frequency will be valuable measures to patients. Limitations in this study are that, it was an in-vitro analysis, with no bioavailability data. Even though dissolution testing is critical to prediction, comparative bioavailability studies are still necessary for regulatory consideration. The drug product stability of this combination set under accelerated conditions will need to be further evaluated to ensure a viable shelf life.

7. CONCLUSION

This integrated research critically managed to prepare and evaluate sustained release tablets (SRTs) of citicoline sodium and risperidone for the treatment of bipolar disorder. F7 optimized formulation showed best controlled drug release (89.4%) over 12 h, in a zero-order manner by anomalous transport mechanism. The pH-independent release kinetics provides consistent drug availability regardless of the physiological conditions, and the solid enough matrix allows manufacturing technology feasibility and scale up. HPMC K100M and ethyl cellulose in combination were effective to obtain a concerted release of both the active compounds, meeting the challenging therapeutic needs of bipolar disorders. Consequently, this novel formulation strategy holds great promise for increasing patient adherence and minimizing side effects and thus, improving therapeutic efficacy in the psychiatric treatment. This successful evidence of concept for controlled release combination therapy in mental health indications paves the way for future clinical development and commercialization.

REFERENCES

1. Adibhatla, R. M., & Hatcher, J. F. (2005). Cytidine 5'-diphosphocholine (CDP-choline) in stroke and other CNS disorders. *Neurochemical Research*, 30(1), 15-23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-004-9681-8>
2. Brown, E. S., & Gabrielson, B. (2012). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of citicoline for bipolar and unipolar depression and methamphetamine dependence. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 143(1-3), 257-260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.05.006>
3. Brown, E. S., Todd, J. P., Hu, L. T., Schmitz, J. M., Carmody, T. J., Nakamura, A., ... & Lo, A. (2015). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of citicoline for cocaine dependence in bipolar I disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 172(10), 1014-1021. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14070857>
4. Enayatifard, R., Saeedi, M., Akbari, J., & Tabatabaee, Y. H. (2009). Effect of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethyl cellulose content on release profile and kinetics of diltiazem HCl from matrices. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 8(5), 425-432. <https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v8i5.48086>
5. Fioravanti, M., & Yanagi, M. (2005). Cytidine diphosphocholine (CDP-choline) for cognitive and behavioural disturbances associated with chronic cerebral disorders in the elderly. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (2), CD000269. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000269.pub3>

6. Ghajar, A., Gholamian, F., Tabatabaei-Motlagh, M., Afarideh, M., Ghaleiha, A., Shamloo, B., ... & Akhondzadeh, S. (2018). Citicoline (CDP-choline) add-on therapy to risperidone for treatment of negative symptoms in patients with stable schizophrenia: A double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental*, 33(4), e2662. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.2662>
7. Jeong, H., Yoon, S., Sung, Y. H., Lee, K. J., Park, S., Kim, B. H., ... & Lyoo, I. K. (2021). Effect of cytidine-5'-diphosphate choline on gray matter volumes in methamphetamine-dependent patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 46(3), 589-598. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-020-00879-7>
8. Khan, K. A., Khan, G. M., Muzammal, M., Al Mohaini, M., Alsalman, A. J., Al Hawaj, M. A., ... & Shah, K. U. (2022). Preparation of Losartan Potassium controlled release matrices and in-vitro investigation using rate controlling agents. *Molecules*, 27(3), 864. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27030864>
9. Khan, K. A., Zizzadoro, C., Di Cerbo, A., Pugliese, N., Khan, G. M., Ghazanfar, S., ... & Farid, A. (2015). Development of controlled-release matrix tablet of risperidone: Influence of Methocel®- and Ethocel®-based novel polymeric blend on in vitro drug release and bioavailability. *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 16(5), 1156-1167. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-015-0289-2>
10. Licata, S. C., Penetar, D. M., Ravichandran, C., Rodolico, J., Palmer, C., Berko, J., ... & Lukas, S. E. (2011). Effect of daily treatment with citicoline: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study in cocaine-dependent volunteers. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 5(1), 57-64. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0b013e3181d80c93>
11. Malipeddi, V. R., Awasthi, R., & Dua, K. (2016). Formulation and evaluation of controlled release ethylcellulose and polyethylene glycol microspheres containing metoprolol tartrate. *Interventional Medicine & Applied Science*, 8(2), 60-67. <https://doi.org/10.1556/1646.8.2016.2.6>
12. Roohi-Azizi, M., Arabzadeh, S., Amidfar, M., Salimi, S., Zarindast, M. R., Talaei, A., & Akhondzadeh, S. (2017). Citicoline combination therapy for major depressive disorder: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical Neuropharmacology*, 40(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WNF.0000000000000185>
13. Siepmann, J., & Peppas, N. A. (2001). Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 48(2-3), 139-157. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(01\)00112-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(01)00112-0)
14. United States Pharmacopeia. (2024). General Chapter <711> Dissolution. USP-NF Online. Retrieved from <https://www.uspnf.com/uspnf/pub/index?usp=44&nf=39&s=0&officialOn=May%201,%202021>
15. Wang, Y., Lee, L., Somma, R., Thompson, G., Bakhtiar, R., Lee, J., ... & Hossain, M. (2004). In vitro dissolution and in vivo oral absorption of methylphenidate from a bimodal release formulation in healthy volunteers. *Biopharmaceutics & Drug Disposition*, 25(2), 91-98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdd.390>