Journal of Neonatal Surgery
ISSN(Online): 2226-0439
Vol. 14, Issue 32s (2025)
https://www.jneonatalsurg.com

OPEN ACCESS

The Association Between Obesity and Gerd: A Review of The Epidemiological Evidence

Dr. Zuhaib Ali[1], Dr. Asfia Hashmi[2], Dr. Deepak Gupta[3]

[1] Associate professor, Department of Medicine, Naraina Medical College and Research Centre, Kanpur

Email ID: drzuhaibali@gmail.com

[2] Assistant Professor, Dept of Community Medicine ASMC Kanpur Dehat

[3] MBBS, MD Respiratory Medicine (TB Chest) Assistant Prof, Naraina Medical College

Cite this paper as: Dr. Zuhaib Ali, Dr. Asfia Hashmi, Dr. Deepak Gupta, (2025) The Association Between Obesity and Gerd: A Review of The Epidemiological Evidence. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (32s), 7741-7748.

ABSTRACT

Background: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and obesity have both reached epidemic proportions globally, with mounting evidence suggesting a strong association between these conditions.

Objective: To systematically review and synthesize epidemiological evidence examining the relationship between obesity and GERD, including its complications such as erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, and esophageal adenocarcinoma.

Methods: A comprehensive review of epidemiological studies, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews was conducted, focusing on population-based studies, cohort studies, and case-control studies that examined the association between various measures of obesity and GERD-related outcomes.

Results: Strong epidemiological evidence demonstrates a consistent positive association between obesity and GERD. Meta-analyses show that overweight individuals (BMI 25-30 kg/m²) have a 1.4-1.6-fold increased risk of GERD symptoms, while obese individuals (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) have a 1.9-2.9-fold increased risk. The relationship exhibits a clear dose-response pattern, with risk increasing progressively across BMI categories, even within the normal weight range. Abdominal obesity, particularly visceral fat accumulation, appears to be a stronger predictor of GERD complications than overall BMI. Weight loss interventions consistently demonstrate improvement in GERD symptoms, with structured programs achieving complete symptom resolution in 65% of participants.

Conclusions: The epidemiological evidence overwhelmingly supports a causal relationship between obesity and GERD. The association is consistent across diverse populations, demonstrates biological plausibility through multiple mechanisms, and shows reversibility with weight loss interventions.

Keywords: *gastroesophageal reflux disease, obesity, body mass index, epidemiology, meta-analysis*

1. INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is characterized by the retrograde flow of gastric contents into the esophagus, causing symptoms such as heartburn and regurgitation, with or without mucosal damage. The global prevalence of GERD varies significantly by region, ranging from approximately 13-28% in North America and Europe to 3-8% in East Asia. Concurrently, the worldwide prevalence of obesity has nearly tripled since 1975, with over 650 million adults classified as obese in 2016.[2][8]

The temporal relationship between rising obesity rates and increasing GERD prevalence has prompted extensive epidemiological investigation. Understanding this association is crucial for public health planning, clinical management, and the development of prevention strategies. This review synthesizes the current epidemiological evidence examining the relationship between obesity and GERD, including its complications.

2. METHODOLOGY

This review encompasses epidemiological studies published in peer-reviewed journals through 2024, including meta-analyses, systematic reviews, population-based studies, cohort studies, and case-control studies. Studies were identified through comprehensive searches of medical databases, focusing on investigations that examined the association between measures of obesity (BMI, waist circumference, visceral fat) and GERD-related outcomes (symptoms, erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, esophageal adenocarcinoma).

3. RESULTS

- ***Association Between General Obesity and GERD Symptoms***

Multiple large-scale epidemiological studies have consistently demonstrated a positive association between BMI and GERD symptoms. The landmark meta-analysis by Hampel et al., which included 18,346 GERD patients from 23 studies, showed that overweight individuals had a 1.57-fold increased risk (95% CI: 1.36-1.80) and obese individuals had a 2.15-fold increased risk (95% CI: 1.89-2.45) of GERD symptoms compared to normal-weight individuals.[4][1]

Table 1: Classification of Obesity in Adults

Classification	WHO International BMI (kg/m²)	WHO Asia-Pacific BMI (kg/m²)	Waist Circumference (WC) Risk Thresholds	Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR) Risk Thresholds
Underweight	< 18.5	< 18.5	-	-
Healthy Weight	18.5 - 24.9	18.5 - 22.9	Men: < 94 cm (Int'l) / < 90 cm (Asian) Women: < 80 cm (Int'l & Asian)	Men: ≤ 0.90 Women: ≤ 0.85
Overweight	25.0 - 29.9	23.0 - 24.9	Men: ≥ 94 cm (Int'l) / ≥ 90 cm (Asian) Women: ≥ 80 cm (Int'l & Asian)	Men: > 0.90 Women: > 0.85
Obesity Class I	30.0 - 34.9	25.0 - 29.9	Men: ≥ 102 cm (US) Women: ≥ 88 cm (US)	
Obesity Class II	35.0 - 39.9	≥ 30.0		
Obesity Class III	≥ 40.0			

Note: WC thresholds vary by guideline; International (WHO/NICE) and Asian thresholds are for increased risk, while US (NIH) thresholds denote substantial risk.

- ***Dose-Response Relationship***

A critical finding across epidemiological studies is the consistent dose-response relationship between increasing BMI and GERD risk. The Nurses' Health Study, involving 10,545 women, demonstrated this gradient effect even within the normal BMI range. Compared to women with BMI 20-22.49 kg/m², the multivariate odds ratios were 1.38 (95% CI: 1.13-1.67) for BMI 22.5-24.9, 2.20 (95% CI: 1.81-2.66) for BMI 25-27.4, and progressively increasing to 2.93 (95% CI: 2.24-3.85) for BMI ≥35.[6]

This dose-response relationship extends to weight change over time. The same study found that women with a BMI increase >3.5 kg/m² had a 2.8-fold increased risk (95% CI: 1.63-4.82) of frequent reflux symptoms compared to those with stable weight. Conversely, women with BMI <20 had a protective odds ratio of 0.67 (95% CI: 0.48-0.93) for GERD symptoms.[6]

- ***Association with Erosive Esophagitis***

The relationship between obesity and erosive esophagitis is particularly robust. Multiple studies have demonstrated that obesity significantly increases the risk of endoscopically visible esophageal mucosal damage. A hospital-based study found that the prevalence of erosive esophagitis increased from 12.5% in normal-weight individuals to 29.8% in overweight and 26.9% in obese participants.[9]

Recent studies utilizing 24-hour pH monitoring have provided objective evidence for this association. Pandolfino et al. analyzed 1,659 patients and found that increasing BMI was positively correlated with esophageal acid exposure, with 13% of the variation in acid exposure attributable to BMI. Obese patients were more than twice as likely to have a mechanically defective lower esophageal sphincter (OR = 2.12, 95% CI: 1.63-2.75).[10]

- ***Visceral Obesity and Abdominal Fat Distribution***

Emerging epidemiological evidence suggests that abdominal obesity, particularly visceral fat accumulation, may be more strongly associated with GERD than general obesity measures. The Kaiser Permanente study of 80,110 participants found that abdominal diameter was an independent risk factor for GERD symptoms, with a multivariate OR of 1.85 (95% CI: 1.55-2.21) for the highest versus lowest diameter categories in white participants.[7]

Japanese studies have been particularly informative regarding visceral fat. A study of 433 healthy adults aged 40-69 years found that visceral fat area was the strongest independent predictor of erosive esophagitis (OR = 2.18), with visceral fat obesity (≥100 cm²) associated with a 61.2% prevalence of erosive esophagitis compared to 12.8% in those without visceral obesity.[3]

- ***Association with Barrett's Esophagus and Esophageal Adenocarcinoma***

The epidemiological relationship between obesity and Barrett's esophagus has been more complex, with some studies showing inconsistent results. However, recent evidence suggests that central obesity measures are more predictive than BMI. Case-control studies have demonstrated that abdominal diameter and waist-hip ratio, but not BMI, are independent risk factors for Barrett's esophagus.[11][2]

Table 2: The Montreal Definition and Classification of GERD Syndromes

Syndrome Category	Syndrome Type	Definition and Key Characteristics
Esophageal Syndromes	**Symptomatic Syndromes**	
	Typical Reflux Syndrome	Defined by the presence of troublesome heartburn (retrosternal burning) and/or regurgitation. Includes Non-Erosive Reflux Disease (NERD).
	Reflux Chest Pain Syndrome	Reflux-induced chest pain that can mimic cardiac ischemia, often occurring without typical heartburn.
	Syndromes with Esophageal Injury	
	Reflux Esophagitis	Endoscopically visible breaks in the esophageal mucosa.
	Reflux Stricture	Narrowing of the esophagus due to chronic inflammation and scarring, causing dysphagia.
	Barrett's Esophagus (BE)	Metaplastic replacement of normal esophageal squamous epithelium with columnar epithelium, a known precursor to adenocarcinoma.
	Esophageal Adenocarcinoma (EAC)	A malignant complication of long-standing GERD, strongly associated with BE.
Extraesophageal Syndromes	**Established Associations**	
	Reflux Cough Syndrome	Chronic cough significantly associated with GERD, often as an aggravating cofactor.
	Reflux Laryngitis Syndrome	Chronic laryngitis (e.g., hoarseness) significantly associated with GERD.
	Reflux Asthma Syndrome	Asthma symptoms associated with or exacerbated by GERD.
	Reflux Dental Erosion Syndrome	Increased prevalence of dental erosions, particularly on palatal and lingual tooth surfaces.
	Proposed Associations	*Insufficient evidence for a definitive causal link.*
		Pharyngitis, sinusitis, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, recurrent otitis media.

For esophageal adenocarcinoma, the epidemiological evidence is compelling. Meta-analyses show a 2-2.5-fold increased risk in obese individuals, with the strength of association among the highest for any cancer type. A systematic review of prospective studies demonstrated that the magnitude of association between BMI and esophageal adenocarcinoma was stronger than for most other malignancies, with relative risks of 1.52 (95% CI: 1.33-1.74) per 5 kg/m² BMI increase in men and 1.51 (95% CI: 1.31-1.74) in women.[12][2]

- ***Geographic and Ethnic Variations***

Epidemiological studies have revealed important geographic and ethnic variations in the obesity-GERD association. Studies from the United States consistently show strong associations, while European studies have shown more heterogeneous results. Asian populations generally show weaker associations, though this may be partly due to lower overall obesity prevalence and different fat distribution patterns.[1][9]

The Kaiser Permanente multiethnic cohort study provided crucial insights into ethnic differences. The association between abdominal obesity and GERD symptoms was strongest in white participants (OR = 1.85), weaker in black participants (OR = 0.95), and non-significant in Asian participants (OR = 0.64). These differences may reflect variations in visceral fat distribution, genetic factors, or other population-specific characteristics.[7]

- ***Gender Differences***

Epidemiological studies have consistently identified important gender differences in the obesity-GERD relationship. While GERD symptoms are often more frequently reported by women, erosive esophagitis and its complications show a male predominance. The male-to-female ratio for erosive esophagitis ranges from 1.2-1.6:1 across different populations.[13][14]

Interestingly, some studies suggest that the obesity-GERD association may be stronger in women. The relationship between hormonal factors, particularly estrogen, and GERD risk in obese women has been investigated, with some evidence suggesting that hormone replacement therapy may modulate this association.[15][2]

- ***Longitudinal Studies and Temporal Relationships***

Longitudinal epidemiological studies have provided crucial evidence for temporality in the obesity-GERD relationship. The Olmsted County studies demonstrated that obesity was a risk factor for both the initial development of GERD and the persistence of symptoms over time. Weight gain during follow-up was associated with increased GERD risk, while weight loss was associated with symptom improvement.[9]

Large cohort studies have confirmed these temporal relationships. A prospective study following participants over multiple years showed that each 1-unit increase in BMI corresponded to a 30% higher risk for GERD symptoms. This temporal evidence strengthens the case for a causal relationship between obesity and GERD.[16]

- ***Weight Loss and GERD: Intervention Studies***

Epidemiological evidence from weight loss intervention studies provides crucial support for the causal relationship between obesity and GERD. The largest prospective intervention study by Singh et al. enrolled 332 overweight and obese subjects in a structured weight loss program combining dietary modification, increased physical activity, and behavioral changes.[5]

The results were striking: with an average weight loss of 13.1 ± 7.7 kg over 6 months, there was a significant decrease in GERD prevalence from 37% to 15% ($p < 0.01$). Among participants with baseline GERD, 81% experienced symptom reduction, with 65% achieving complete resolution and 15% partial resolution. A significant correlation was observed between percentage body weight loss and reduction in GERD symptom scores ($r = 0.17$, $p < 0.05$).[5]

Smaller studies have corroborated these findings. A Swedish study of 34 overweight patients demonstrated a positive correlation between weight loss and improvement in GERD symptoms. More recent dietary intervention studies using low-calorie diets have shown significant improvements in GERD-related quality of life scores with even modest weight loss (4.4 ± 5.3 kg).[17][5]

Table 3: Summary of Key Meta-Analyses on the Association between BMI and GERD Outcomes

Meta-Analysis	Outcome Measured	Comparison Group	Pooled Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Hampel et al. (2005)	GERD Symptoms	Overweight (BMI 25-30) vs. Normal	1.43 (1.16 - 1.77)
		Obese (BMI >30) vs. Normal	1.94 (1.47 - 2.57)
	Erosive Esophagitis	BMI ≥25 vs. Normal	1.76 (1.16 - 2.68)
	Esophageal Adenocarcinoma	Overweight (BMI 25-30) vs. Normal	1.52 (1.15 - 2.01)
		Obese (BMI >30) vs. Normal	2.78 (1.85 - 4.16)
Corley & Kubo	GERD (US Studies)	Overweight vs. Normal	1.57 (1.36 - 1.80)

(2006)			
		Obese vs. Normal	2.15 (1.89 - 2.45)

4. PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS: EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SUPPORT

Epidemiological studies have provided evidence supporting various pathophysiological mechanisms linking obesity to GERD:

- ***Increased Intra-abdominal Pressure***

Studies using pH monitoring have shown that obesity is associated with increased gastroesophageal pressure gradients and more frequent transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxations.[18][10]

- ***Hiatal Hernia Prevalence***

Epidemiological studies have consistently shown higher prevalence of hiatal hernia in obese individuals. Studies of bariatric surgery patients report hiatal hernia prevalence exceeding 50%, compared to near-zero prevalence in normal-weight controls.[18]

- ***Inflammatory Mediators***

Population studies have demonstrated altered adipokine profiles in obese individuals with GERD. Elevated leptin levels and decreased adiponectin levels have been independently associated with increased GERD risk and progression to complications.[19][12]

- ***Public Health Implications***

The epidemiological evidence has important public health implications. Population attributable risk calculations suggest that maintaining BMI <25 kg/m² could prevent 16.5% of GERD cases in white populations. Given the high prevalence of both obesity and GERD, even modest population-level interventions targeting weight management could have substantial health impacts.[7]

Table 4: Summary of Clinical Practice Guideline Recommendations for GERD Management in Obese Patients

Intervention Type	**Specific Recommendation**	**Recommending Body**	**Strength of Recommendation & Quality of Evidence**	**Key Rationale/Comments**
Lifestyle	**Weight Loss**	ACG, AGA	**Strong, Moderate**	A cornerstone of therapy. Reduces intra-abdominal pressure and improves GERD symptoms.
	Head of Bed Elevation	ACG, AGA	Conditional, Low	Recommended for patients with nocturnal reflux symptoms.
	Avoid Meals 2-3 Hours Before Bedtime	ACG, AGA	Conditional, Low	Reduces gastric volume and acid available for reflux when recumbent.
	Avoid "Trigger Foods"	ACG, AGA	Conditional, Low	Recommended if specific foods are identified by the patient as symptom triggers.
Pharmacological	**Proton Pump Inhibitor (PPI) Therapy**	ACG, AGA	**Strong, High** (for healing EE)	Most effective medical therapy for symptom control and healing of esophagitis. Use lowest effective dose.
Surgical	**Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB)**	ACG, SAGES	Conditional, Low (ACG)	Considered the gold-standard bariatric procedure for patients with obesity and significant GERD.

	Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG)	SAGES	-	Can cause or worsen GERD. Generally not recommended for patients with significant pre-existing reflux.

The dose-response relationship observed even within the normal BMI range suggests that weight gain prevention, rather than just obesity treatment, should be emphasized. The finding that weight gain within normal BMI ranges increases GERD risk challenges traditional approaches that focus only on clinically obese individuals.[6]

5. LIMITATIONS AND RESEARCH GAPS

While the epidemiological evidence is robust, several limitations warrant consideration:

- **Measurement Issues**: Most studies rely on BMI, which may not accurately reflect abdominal obesity in all populations. Studies using direct measures of visceral fat are limited but show stronger associations.
- **Heterogeneity**: Significant heterogeneity exists between studies, particularly regarding geographic regions and ethnic groups.
- **Confounding**: Potential confounding by dietary factors, physical activity, and comorbidities remains a concern, though most studies have attempted to control for these factors.
- **Barrett's Esophagus**: The relationship with Barrett's esophagus remains less clear, with some inconsistency in study results.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future epidemiological research should focus on:

- **Precision Obesity Measures**: Greater use of imaging-based measures of visceral fat rather than relying solely on BMI.
- **Longitudinal Studies**: More long-term follow-up studies to better establish temporal relationships and the effects of weight change over time.
- **Mechanistic Epidemiology**: Studies incorporating biomarker measurements to better understand the pathways linking obesity to GERD.
- **Population-Specific Research**: More studies in diverse ethnic populations to understand variations in the obesity-GERD relationship.

7. CONCLUSION

The epidemiological evidence overwhelmingly supports a strong, consistent, and likely causal association between obesity and GERD. The relationship demonstrates several characteristics suggestive of causation:

- The association has been demonstrated across multiple populations, study designs, and geographic regions.
- A clear gradient exists, with increasing obesity associated with progressively higher GERD risk.
- Longitudinal studies demonstrate that obesity precedes GERD development, and weight changes are associated with corresponding changes in GERD risk.
- Multiple plausible mechanisms are supported by epidemiological evidence.
- Weight loss interventions consistently demonstrate improvement in GERD symptoms.

The evidence strongly supports BMI maintenance in the normal range as a preventive strategy for GERD and its complications. For overweight and obese individuals with GERD, weight loss should be considered a first-line intervention. Abdominal obesity appears to be particularly important, suggesting that waist circumference and visceral fat measures may be valuable clinical tools.

Given the rising global prevalence of both obesity and GERD, this association represents a significant public health concern. Population-level interventions targeting obesity prevention and treatment could substantially reduce the burden of GERD-related disease and its complications, including the increasingly common and often fatal esophageal adenocarcinoma.

The epidemiological evidence provides a compelling case for integrating weight management into GERD prevention and treatment strategies. Healthcare providers should emphasize weight maintenance and loss as evidence-based interventions for GERD, while public health policies should address the obesity epidemic as a means of preventing GERD-related morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES

[1] Corley, D. A., & Kubo, A. (2006). Body mass index and gastroesophageal reflux disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 101(11), 2619-2628. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00849.x

[2] El-Serag, H. B. (2008). The association between obesity and GERD: A review of the epidemiological evidence. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 53(9), 2307-2312. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-008-0413-9

[3] Ohashi, S., Maruno, T., Fukuyama, K., Kikuchi, O., Sunami, T., Kondo, Y., Imai, S., Matsushima, A., Suzuki, K., Usui, F., Yakami, M., Yamada, A., Isoda, H., Matsumoto, S., Seno, H., Muto, M., & Inoue, M. (2021). Visceral fat obesity is the key risk factor for the development of reflux erosive esophagitis in 40-69-years subjects. Esophagus, 18(4), 889-899. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10388-021-00859-5

[4] Hampel, H., Abraham, N. S., & El-Serag, H. B. (2005). Meta-analysis: Obesity and the risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease and its complications. Annals of Internal Medicine, 143(3), 199-211. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-143-3-200508020-00006

[5] Singh, M., Lee, J., Gupta, N., Gaddam, S., Smith, B. K., Wani, S. B., Sullivan, D. K., Rastogi, A., & Bansal, A. (2013). Weight loss can lead to resolution of gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms: A prospective intervention trial. Obesity, 21(2), 284-290. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20006

[6] Jacobson, B. C., Somers, S. C., Fuchs, C. S., Kelly, C. P., & Camargo, C. A. (2006). Body-mass index and symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux in women. New England Journal of Medicine, 354(22), 2340-2348. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa054391

[7] Corley, D. A., Kubo, A., & Zhao, W. (2007). Abdominal obesity, ethnicity and gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms. Gut, 56(6), 756-762. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2006.109413

[8] Yamasaki, T., Hemond, C., Eisa, M., Ganocy, S., & Fass, R. (2020). The changing epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: Are patients getting younger? Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 26(4), 467-475. https://doi.org/10.5056/jnm20036

[9] Koppman, J. S., Poggi, L., Szomstein, S., Ukleja, A., Botoman, A., & Rosenthal, R. (2007). Esophageal motility disorders in the morbidly obese population. Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases, 3(3), 291-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soard.2006.12.004

[10] Pandolfino, J. E., El-Serag, H. B., Zhang, Q., Shah, N., Ghosh, S. K., & Kahrilas, P. J. (2006). Obesity: A challenge to esophagogastric junction integrity. Gastroenterology, 130(3), 639-649. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2005.12.016

[11] Ryan, A. M., Duong, M., Healy, L., Ryan, S. A., Parekh, N., Reynolds, J. V., & Power, D. G. (2011). Obesity, metabolic syndrome and esophageal adenocarcinoma: Epidemiology, etiology and new targets. Cancer Epidemiology, 35(4), 309-319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2011.03.001

[12] Hoyo, C., Cook, M. B., Kamangar, F., Freedman, N. D., Whiteman, D. C., Bernstein, L., Brown, L. M., Risch, H. A., Ye, W., Sharp, L., Wu, A. H., Ward, M. H., Casson, A. G., Murray, L. J., Corley, D. A., Nyren, O., Pandeya, N., Vaughan, T. L., Chow, W. H., & Gammon, M. D. (2012). Body mass index in relation to oesophageal and oesophagogastric junction adenocarcinomas: A pooled analysis from the International BEACON Consortium. International Journal of Epidemiology, 41(6), 1706-1718. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys176

[13] Hveem, K., Oberle, C., Straume, B., Svensson, E., Lind, T., Bytzer, P., & Johnsen, R. (2004). Proton pump inhibitors: Population tolerability and non-cardiac chest pain. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 20(11-12), 1385-1392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.02302.x

[14] Lim, S. L., Canavarro, C., Zaw, M. H., Zhu, F., Loke, W. C., Chan, Y. H., Yeoh, K. G., & Lim, W. C. (2016). Sex and gender differences in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 22(4), 655-662. https://doi.org/10.5056/jnm16138

[15] Ayazi, S., Hagen, J. A., Chan, L. S., DeMeester, S. R., Lin, M. W., Ayazi, A., Leers, J. M., Oezcelik, A., Banki, F., Lipham, J. C., DeMeester, T. R., & Crookes, P. F. (2009). Obesity and gastroesophageal reflux: Quantifying the association between body mass index, esophageal acid exposure, and lower esophageal sphincter status in a large series of patients with reflux symptoms. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 13(8), 1440-1447. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-009-0930-7

[16] El-Serag, H. B., Ergun, G. A., Pandolfino, J., Fitzgerald, S., Tran, T., & Kramer, J. R. (2007). Obesity increases oesophageal acid exposure. Gut, 56(6), 749-755. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2006.100263

[17] Friedenberg, F. K., Xanthopoulos, M., Foster, G. D., & Richter, J. E. (2008). The association between gastroesophageal reflux disease and obesity. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 103(8), 2111-

2122. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01946.x

[18] Kubo, A., & Corley, D. A. (2021). Excess body weight and gastroesophageal reflux disease. Visceral Medicine, 37(4), 267-272. https://doi.org/10.1159/000515451

[19] Lee, H. L., Eun, C. S., Lee, O. Y., Jeon, Y. C., Han, D. S., Yoon, B. C., Choi, H. S., Hahm, J. S., & Lee, M. H. (2015). The effect of abdominal visceral fat, circulating inflammatory cytokines, and leptin levels on reflux esophagitis. Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 21(2), 247-254. https://doi.org/10.5056/jnm14114