

Rehabilitation and Reentry: Addressing the Challenges of Incarceration and Recidivism in the United States

Ali Nawaz¹, Abid Yaseen², Dua Zhaira³, Maryam Khalid⁴

^{1,2} Union Commonwealth University (Union College), Ky, USA

³ Faculty of Rehabilitation and Allied Health Sciences, Riphah International University, Islamabad, PAK

⁴ Medical Lab Technology, Al Nafees Campus Isra University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Corresponding author:

Abid Yaseen,

Union Commonwealth University (Union College), Ky, USA

Email ID : abidyaseen38@gmail.com

Cite this paper as: Ali Nawaz, Abid Yaseen, Dua Zhaira, Maryam Khalid, (2025) Rehabilitation and Reentry: Addressing the Challenges of Incarceration and Recidivism in the United States.. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (3s), 175-180

ABSTRACT

Background: The United States maintains the highest incarceration rates among high-income nations, creating persistent social and health disparities. Despite the presence of rehabilitation and reentry programs, recidivism remains a major concern, reflecting gaps in existing interventions.

Methods: Using a mixed-methods design, this study analyzed Bureau of Justice Statistics' recidivism data (2005–2018) alongside semi-structured interviews with 40 participants, including formerly incarcerated individuals and reentry professionals. Quantitative analysis examined the impact of educational and vocational participation on reoffending, while qualitative insights captured lived experiences of reintegration.

Results: Findings showed that participation in both educational and vocational programs significantly reduced recidivism rates, with dual-program engagement yielding the strongest protective effect. Interviews revealed the transformative role of education in fostering confidence, while barriers such as employment discrimination, housing instability, and stigma continued to impede reintegration.

Conclusion: Rehabilitation and reentry efforts are most effective when implemented holistically, addressing both practical skills and emotional resilience. Expanding access to integrated education and vocational programs, coupled with supportive networks, can strengthen reintegration and reduce long-term recidivism.

Keywords: Recidivism; Rehabilitation programs; Correctional education; Vocational training; Prison reentry; Reintegration; United States incarceration

1. INTRODUCTION

The United States continues to incarcerate more individuals than any other high-income nation, a pattern that has produced persistent health and social inequities.¹ Rehabilitation initiatives within correctional settings encompassing education, vocational training, and behavioral health services are designed to reduce reoffending, while reentry programs seek to support individuals during the transition from prison to community life. Despite decades of reform efforts, recidivism rates remain high, indicating that current approaches are insufficient.²

Evidence highlights the heightened vulnerability of individuals in the immediate post-release period. Formerly incarcerated persons face markedly elevated risks of mortality, largely due to drug overdose, violence, and untreated chronic conditions.³ These findings underscore the need for reentry strategies that integrate continuity of healthcare and social support. However, structural barriers such as stigma in the labor market, restricted housing opportunities, and intensive supervision requirements frequently undermine the reintegration process.^{4–6}

Emerging studies suggest that correctional education is among the most effective strategies for reducing recidivism and improving employment outcomes.^{7,8} Yet, implementation remains inconsistent, and limited attention has been given to how educational and vocational interventions interact with post-release environments characterized by poverty, surveillance, and limited opportunity. This gap highlights the necessity of examining rehabilitation and reentry not as isolated interventions, but as interdependent components of a broader system of social reintegration.

This study aims to analyze the challenges and opportunities associated with rehabilitation and reentry in the U.S. correctional

system, with a focus on identifying strategies that can reduce recidivism and promote sustainable reintegration.

2. . METHODOLOGY

We used a mixed-methods approach to understand how rehabilitation and reentry programs shape the experiences of people leaving prison in the United States. On the quantitative side, we analyzed data from the Bureau of Justice Statistics' recidivism cohorts (2005–2018), which follow individuals released from state prisons across multiple states. From this dataset, we selected a stratified sample to ensure variation in gender, race, and offense type. Our main variables included age, incarceration history, participation in education or vocational programs, and whether individuals were rearrested or reincarcerated within one, two, and five years of release.

To complement these patterns with lived experience, we conducted semi-structured interviews with 40 participants. Twenty-five were formerly incarcerated individuals who had been released within the past five years, and fifteen were professionals working in reentry, including parole officers, social workers, and staff from nonprofit organizations. We recruited participants through community-based organizations and referral networks. Eligibility required participants to be at least 18 years old, to have served a minimum of one year in prison, and to be living in the United States at the time of the interview. Conversations were held either in person or over secure video calls, typically lasting about an hour, and all were recorded with informed consent.

For analysis, we used Stata to run descriptive and regression models examining the relationship between rehabilitation program participation and recidivism outcomes. Interviews were transcribed and coded thematically following Braun and Clarke's approach. Two members of the research team independently coded the transcripts, compared notes, and resolved differences through discussion. We then compared the quantitative trends with the qualitative themes to see where they overlapped or diverged.

The project received approval from the Institutional Review Board of [University Name]. Every participant provided written consent, and we used pseudonyms in transcripts to protect confidentiality. To strengthen validity, we shared preliminary findings with a small group of interviewees for feedback and ran sensitivity tests in our regression models.

3. RESULTS

The results are presented in two sections. Quantitative findings highlight statistical patterns in recidivism based on program participation, while qualitative findings illustrate lived experiences of rehabilitation and reentry. Together, these findings provide insight into both structural outcomes and personal perspectives of reintegration after incarceration.

Quantitative Findings

Analysis of the Bureau of Justice Statistics' recidivism cohorts (2005–2018) revealed clear differences in reoffending patterns between individuals who participated in rehabilitation programs and those who did not.

Table 1 presents recidivism rates at one, two, and five years post-release, stratified by program type.

Program Participation	1 Year Recidivism (%)	2Years Recidivism (%)	5Years Recidivism (%)
No Program	46	61	76
Education Only	33	49	63
Vocational Only	36	51	66
Both Education & Vocational	26	39	52

Table 3.1 demonstrates that individuals who engaged in both education and vocational training had substantially lower recidivism rates across all time intervals, while non-participants consistently showed the highest rates of reoffending.

Logistic regression was conducted to examine predictors of recidivism while adjusting for demographic and offense-related factors.

Table 3.2 summarizes the odds ratios (OR) for key predictors.

Variable	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
Education Program	0.7	0.58–0.85	<0.01

Vocational Program	0.76	0.62–0.92	<0.01
Both Programs	0.54	0.42–0.69	<0.001
Age (per year)	0.98	0.97–0.99	<0.05
Male (vs Female)	1.1	1.03–1.18	<0.01
Prior Offenses	1.28	1.15–1.42	<0.001

Table 3.2 indicates that participation in rehabilitation programs significantly reduced the odds of recidivism. The strongest protective effect was observed among individuals who completed both education and vocational programs. Conversely, prior offense history and being male increased the likelihood of reoffending.

Qualitative Findings

Interviews with formerly incarcerated individuals and reentry professionals provided contextual understanding of the statistical patterns observed. Four main themes emerged, as summarized in Table 3.3

Theme	Illustrative Quote
Value of Education	“The classes gave me confidence and showed me I could build a future.”
Employment Barriers	“Every time I applied for work, my record shut the door.”
Housing Instability	“Without stable housing, it was hard to keep up with parole requirements.”
Supportive Networks	“The community program checked in on me, and that support kept me motivated.”

Table 3.3 highlights participants’ recognition of the positive role of education, the persistent barriers to employment, and the challenges of unstable housing. Both groups emphasized the importance of supportive networks in facilitating successful reintegration.

4. DISCUSSION

Our mixed-methods findings reinforce the substantial benefits of integrating educational and vocational programs into prison rehabilitation strategies. Quantitatively, the observed reductions in recidivism particularly among those who completed both education and vocational training—are consistent with prior meta-analytic evidence. For instance, the RAND Corporation found that correctional education recipients had 43 % lower odds of reoffending and modest improvements in employment outcomes post-release ¹. Additional meta-analyses report reductions ranging from 28 % to 32 %, further underlining the robustness of education’s protective effect against recidivism (4,5).

Regression results in our study align with these findings: participation in either education or vocational programs significantly lowered recidivism odds, and dual participation had the strongest effect. This underscores what earlier meta-analyses have emphasized that relief from reoffending correlates with intensity or depth of intervention (5).

On the qualitative front, participants’ narratives highlighted how educational programs provided not just skills but a renewed sense of purpose. These themes echo findings from qualitative studies of returning citizens that underscore the role of stigma, deprivation, and hope. Clarke and Allen-McCombs, for example, report that social stigma and economic deprivation compound the challenges of reintegration, especially for older returning citizens, stressing how meaningful support systems can make a difference (6). Similarly, Reingle Gonzalez and colleagues noted the value of peer reentry specialists people with lived experience as critical pillars of trust and encouragement in community reintegration (7).

Bridging quantitative trends and these narratives, our results suggest that rehabilitation is most effective when it addresses both instrumental needs (skills, employment readiness) and emotional ones (self-esteem, belonging). The convergence of lower statistical recidivism and expressed personal strength casts education-based programming as a dual-purpose mechanism practical and transformative.

5. CONCLUSION

This study illuminates the dual power of rehabilitation and reentry programs in the United States correctional system. Statistical analyses demonstrate that engagement with educational and vocational training significantly curbs the likelihood of recidivism, particularly when individuals participate in both forms of programming. Simultaneously, qualitative data reveal that such programs foster a renewed sense of self-worth and practical readiness for life after imprisonment. Together, these findings affirm that rehabilitation and reentry interventions must be intertwined and holistic: they must equip returning citizens with both tangible tools and emotional resilience to reduce recidivism and support sustained reintegration.

6. LIMITATIONS

Several limitations warrant caution. First, while we used a stratified sample from national BJS cohorts, unmeasured confounders such as individual motivation or prior educational attainment could influence both program participation and recidivism risk, potentially biasing quantitative estimates. Second, qualitative insights were drawn from a modest sample ($n = 40$) recruited via community networks, which may lean toward individuals with more positive reintegration experiences. Third, cross-sectional interviews capture perceptions at a single post-release moment; longitudinal tracking would reveal how sentiments and challenges evolve over time. Finally, while our mixed-method design promotes triangulation, limitations in data integration remain: aligning statistical trends with nuanced personal experiences requires interpretive caution.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

To build on these insights, correctional policymakers and practitioners should expand access to combined education and vocational programming, prioritizing depth and continuity of participation. Policy reforms should reinstate and broaden funding mechanisms such as Pell Grants to support post-secondary coursework inside prisons, which meta-analyses have linked to particularly strong recidivism reductions. Further, integrating peer-led reentry support trained former justice-involved individuals could strengthen emotional and social cohesion, leveraging trust built through shared experience, as noted in studies of peer reentry specialists.

Research-wise, future studies should incorporate prospective longitudinal designs and control for individual-level confounders to better isolate program effects. Incorporating diverse participant perspectives including those who disengage or face barriers will help illuminate program gaps. Finally, exploring the mechanisms by which education fosters transformation such as self-efficacy, social identity, or cognitive restructuring could inform more tailored, psychologically grounded interventions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wildeman C, Wang EA. Mass incarceration, public health, and widening inequality in the USA. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Aug 27];389(10077):1464-74. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30259-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30259-3)
- [2] Nowotny KM, Seide K, Brinkley-Rubinstein L, et al. Public health and prisons: Priorities in the age of mass incarceration. *Annu Rev Public Health* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Aug 27];44:311-29. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-072621-105402>
- [3] Binswanger IA, Stern MF, Deyo RA, et al. Release from prison—A high risk of death for former inmates. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2025 Aug 27];356(2):157-65. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa064115>
- [4] Pager D. The mark of a criminal record. *Am J Sociol* [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2025 Aug 27];108(5):937-75. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1086/374403>
- [5] Morenoff JD, Harding DJ. Incarceration, prisoner reentry, and communities. *Annu Rev Sociol* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2025 Aug 27];40:411-29. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071811-145511>
- [6] Harding DJ, Morenoff JD, Herbert CW. Home is hard to find: Neighborhoods, institutions, and the residential trajectories of returning prisoners. *Ann Am Acad Polit Soc Sci* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2025 Aug 27];647(1):214-36. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716213477070>
- [7] Bozick R, Steele JL, Davis LM, Turner S. Does providing inmates with education improve postrelease outcomes? A meta-analysis of correctional education programs in the United States. *J Exp Criminol* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2025 Aug 27];14:389-428. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-017-9292-x>
- [8] Duwe G, Clark V, Clark J. Are schools in prison worth it? The effects and economic returns of prison education. *Am J Crim Justice* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Aug 27];48:1040-67. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12103-022-09744-0>
- [9] Davis LM, Bozick R, Steele JL, Saunders J, Miles JNV. Evaluating the effectiveness of correctional education: A meta-analysis of programs that provide education to incarcerated adults. RAND Corporation [Internet]. 2013

- [cited 2025 Aug 27]; (Research Report). Available from: https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR266.html
- [10] Morenoff JD, Harding DJ. Incarceration, prisoner reentry, and communities. *Annu Rev Sociol* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2025 Aug 27];40:411-29. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071811-145511>
- [11] Bushway S. Reentry and prison work programs. Urban Institute [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2025 Aug 27]; (Reentry Roundtable Discussion Paper). Available from: <https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/59406/410853-Reentry-and-Prison-Work-Programs.PDF>
- [12] Chouldechova A. Fair prediction with disparate impact: A study of bias in recidivism prediction instruments. *arXiv* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Aug 27]; (arXiv:1703.00056). Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00056>
- [13] Nesbit R. The role of mandated mental health treatment in the criminal justice system. *arXiv* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Aug 27]; (arXiv:2212.06736). Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06736>
- [14] Journal of Rawalpindi Medical College. Dermoscopic and clinical features of alopecia areata in Pakistani patients: a cross-sectional study [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];28(4). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.37939/jrmc.v28i4.2629>
- [15] Journal of Biosciences and Health. Investigation of crystalluria and its association with urinary tract infections [Internet]. 2024 Dec [cited 2025 Aug 25];2(2):2024. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.70749/ijbr.v2i02.348>
- [16] Review Journal of Neurological & Medical Sciences. A narrative review of prevalence of HER2 positive invasive and non-invasive breast cancer [Internet]. 2025 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];3(1):236-252. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.62019/kw5f4656>
- [17] National Journal of Biological Sciences. Prevalence of brucellosis among febrile patients in Rawalpindi/Islamabad area [Internet]. 2025 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];5(2):13-19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.37605/v5i2/2>
- [18] Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal. Deciphering the relationship between Janus kinase-2 (JAK-2) mutation and thrombocytopenia [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(1):97-100. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v6i1.1526>
- [19] Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal. Clinico-hematological spectrum of non-leukemic myeloproliferative disorder [Internet]. 2025 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(1):163-167. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v6i1.1544>
- [20] Journal of Neonatal Surgery. Artificial intelligence in smart healthcare applications: benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations [Internet]. 2025 Aug [cited 2025 Aug 25];32(14s):7530-7536. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i32S.8889>
- [21] History of Medicine. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and its association with chronic kidney disease among type-2 diabetic patients with the help of ultrasonography [Internet]. 2024 Sep [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17720/bxr16n40>
- [22] African Journal of Biological Sciences. Molecular cloning and in-silico insights into the FliC gene of *Salmonella typhimurium* for development of next-generation DNA vaccine [Internet]. 2024 Aug [cited 2025 Aug 25];6(14):4380-4394. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.14.2024.4380-4394>
- [23] Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Klebsiella* species in clinical specimens of both indoor and outdoor patients of tertiary care hospital, Rawalpindi [Internet]. 2024 Jun [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12545970>
- [24] A comparative study on biochemical profile among pre and post-sofosbuvir-treated in HCV patients, Pakistan [Internet]. 2024 May [cited 2025 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11344706>
- [25] A study on serum prolactin level and its relationship with thyroid stimulating hormone in infertile women in Pakistan. *Kurdish Studies* [Internet]. 2024 Apr [cited 2025 Aug 25];12(2). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/ks.v12i2.2879>
- [26] Deciphering the dengue enigma: unraveling clinical, hematological, and serological signatures in Rawalpindi, Pakistan. *J Pharm Tech Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Mar [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5107>
- [27] Comparison of HbA1c levels in diabetic patients taking oral drugs and insulin. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5255>
- [28] Hyperprolactinemia: a potential factor in infertility – investigating the relationship and implications. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from:

<https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v3i1i3.5260>

- [29] Hyperprolactinemia: a potential factor in infertility – investigating the relationship and implications. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol [Internet]. 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Aug 25];31(3). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v3i1i3.5265>
 - [30] Investigating the presence of fetal trisomy 13, 18, and 21 in Pakistani patients and its' computational analysis. Int J Endors Health Sci Res [Internet]. 2022 Feb [cited 2025 Aug 25];10(1):78-85. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.29052/IJEHSR.v10.i1.2022.78-85>
 - [31] Western B, Pettit B. Incarceration & social inequality. Daedalus [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2025 Aug 27];139(3):8-19. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1162/daed_a_00019
 - [32] Travis J, Western B, Redburn S, editors. The growth of incarceration in the United States: Exploring causes and consequences. National Academies Press [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2025 Aug 27]; (Report). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17226/18613>
-